

LIE ALGEBRA COHOMOLOGY FOR RATIONAL CHEREDNIK ALGEBRAS

JING-SONG HUANG AND KAYUE DANIEL WONG

ABSTRACT. We define Lie algebra cohomology associated with the half-Dirac operators for representations of rational Cherednik algebras and show that it has property described in the Casselman-Osborne lemma by establishing a version of the Vogan's conjecture for the half-Dirac operators. Moreover, we study the relationship between Lie algebra cohomology and Dirac cohomology in analogy of the representations for semisimple Lie algebras.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Lie algebra cohomology and Dirac cohomology	4
3. Vogan's Conjecture for Lie algebra cohomology	8
4. Embedding of Dirac cohomology into Lie algebra cohomology	13
5. Hodge decomposition for unitarizable modules	17
6. Lie algebra cohomology for $\mathbf{H}_{t,c}$ with $t = 1$	19
7. Lie algebra cohomology for $\mathbf{H}_{t,c}$ with $t = 0$	23
References	27

1. INTRODUCTION

Dirac operator plays a pivotal role in mathematics and theoretical physics. In representation theory, Dirac operator was used for geometric construction of discrete series representations by Parthasarathy [33], Atiyah and Schmid [1]. In later 1990's Vogan [35] formulated a conjecture on the Dirac operator in Lie algebra setting that reveals an interesting algebraic nature of the Dirac operator. This conjecture was verified by Pandžić and the first named author [22]. The Vogan's conjecture has been generalized to Kostant's cubic Dirac operator [32], as well as various other setting in affine Lie algebras [29], Lie superalgebras [23], and in particular to graded affine Hecke algebras [3]. More recently, Ciubotaru has extended the definition of Dirac operator and Vogan's conjecture further to Drinfeld's graded Hecke algebras including symplectic reflection algebras [11].

The research described in this paper is supported by grants from Research Grant Council of HKSAR and National Science Foundation of China.

The Dirac cohomology $H_D(M)$ of an irreducible Harish-Chandra module M determines the infinitesimal character of M . Calculating Dirac cohomology $H_D(M)$ leads insight to the structure of related Lie groups and locally symmetric spaces [24], and it has applications in branching rules [27], harmonic analysis and endoscopy theory [21]. Many analogous results have been obtained for graded affine Hecke algebras [3] and for symplectic reflection algebras [11].

The work of Etingof and Ginzburg on symplectic reflection algebras [14] has inspired new development in representation theory and interaction of representation theory with algebraic combinatorics, integrable systems and algebraic geometry. We note that apart from a finite list of small rank exceptions there are only two families of symplectic reflection algebras. One is associated with real or complex reflection groups, namely rational Cherednik algebras and the other is the wreath product. The representation theory of rational Cherednik algebras has more analogue of semisimple Lie algebras, and we focus on this case. Let $\mathfrak{h}$ be a finite dimensional $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space and $W \subset GL(\mathfrak{h})$ a complex reflection group. The rational Cherednik algebras $\mathbf{H}_{t,c}(W, \mathfrak{h})$ with parameter $t \in \mathbb{C}$ and W -invariant functions c defined on the set of reflections of W is the symplectic reflection algebras with W acting on $V = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}^*$ with the naturally defined W -invariant symplectic form (cf. Section 2). We denote it by $\mathbf{H}_{t,c}$ if $\mathfrak{h}$ and W are clear, and simply by $\mathbf{H}$ if both t and c are also fixed.

The purpose of this paper is to definite and study the $\mathfrak{h}^*$ -cohomology $H^\bullet(\mathfrak{h}^*, M)$ and the $\mathfrak{h}$ -homology $H_\bullet(\mathfrak{h}, M)$ (see Section 2 for the definitions) as W -modules. They are naturally associated with cohomology defined by the half-Dirac operators D_x and D_y with twist of a genuine character χ of $\widetilde{W}$ (see Proposition 2.12). We show that they have nice properties in analogue of semisimple Lie algebras obtained in [25] and [26], especially we prove an analogue of the Casselman-Osborne lemma for general admissible modules and a Hodge decomposition theorem for unitarizable modules. Moreover, we obtain some results on relations between $H_D(M)$ and Lie algebra cohomology $H^\bullet(\mathfrak{u}^*, M)$ and homology $H_\bullet(\mathfrak{u}, M)$ in analogy of the results on category $\mathcal{O}^p$ obtained by Xiao and the first named author [28].

We now describe our main results more precisely. Most of necessary definitions are introduced in Sections 2 and 3. We note that Theorems A to D remain true upon replacing $H^\bullet(\mathfrak{h}^*, M)$ with $H_\bullet(\mathfrak{h}, M)$.

We first establish a version of the Vogan's Conjecture for half-Dirac operators. It immediately implies an analogue of Casselman-Osborne Lemma for $H^\bullet(\mathfrak{h}^*, M)$ and $H_\bullet(\mathfrak{h}, M)$.

Theorem A (Theorem 3.9). *Let $\mathcal{B}$ be an abelian subalgebra of $\mathbf{H} \otimes C(V)$ defined before the statement of Theorem 3.8. Suppose $\sigma \otimes \beta$ is an isotypical component in $H^\bullet(\mathfrak{h}^*, M)$, where σ is an irreducible W -module and β is a $\mathcal{B}$ -character. Then Vogan's morphism*

$$\zeta_d^* : \text{Irr}(\widetilde{W}) \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{B}$$

satisfies the following condition

$$\zeta_d^*(\sigma \otimes \chi) = \beta.$$

Here $\chi : \widetilde{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ is a genuine one-dimensional character of a double cover $p : \widetilde{W} \rightarrow W$ of W , satisfying $\chi^2(\tilde{w}) = \det_{\mathfrak{h}^*}(p(\tilde{w}))$.

Then we study the relation between Dirac cohomology $H_D(M)$ and Lie algebra cohomology $H^\bullet(\mathfrak{h}^*, M)$. We have the following inclusion of $\widetilde{W}$ -module homomorphisms.

Theorem B (Theorem 4.1). *Let M be a $\mathbf{H}$ -module so that $\ker D^2$ is finite-dimensional. Then we have the following injective $\widetilde{W}$ -module homomorphisms:*

$$H_D(M) \hookrightarrow H^\bullet(\mathfrak{h}^*, M) \otimes \chi.$$

With an admissible condition (see Definition 2.13) on action of the Casimir element $\Omega_{\mathbf{H}}$ (see Definition 2.2) on M , we have a sufficient condition for the above inclusions being isomorphisms.

Theorem C (Theorem 4.5). *Let M be an $\Omega_{\mathbf{H}}$ -admissible $\mathbf{H}$ -module (Definition 2.13) with $\Omega_{\mathbf{H}}$ -eigenspace decomposition $M = \bigoplus_{\lambda} M_{\lambda}$. Suppose that*

$$[H^{\text{even}}(\mathfrak{h}^*, M) : H^{\text{odd}}(\mathfrak{h}^*, M)]_W = 0,$$

i.e. $H^{\text{even}}(\mathfrak{h}^*, M)$ and $H^{\text{odd}}(\mathfrak{h}^*, M)$ have no common irreducible W -modules. Then

$$H_D(M) \cong H^\bullet(\mathfrak{h}^*, M) \otimes \chi.$$

The inclusions in Theorem B are isomorphisms if M is a $*$ -unitary module (see [15] or Section 5.1 of [11] for the definition of $*$). As a matter of fact, we have a Hodge decomposition theorem for Dirac cohomology of unitary modules.

Theorem D (Theorem 5.1). *Let M be a $*$ -unitary module in the sense of [15], then*

$$(a) H_D(M) = \ker D = \ker D^2.$$

$$(b) M \otimes S = \ker D \oplus \text{im } D_x \oplus \text{im } D_y.$$

$$(c) \ker D_x = \ker D \oplus \text{im } D_x, \ker D_y = \ker D \oplus \text{im } D_y.$$

Consequently,

$$H_D(M) = \ker D = \ker D_x / \text{im } D_x \cong H^\bullet(\mathfrak{h}^*, M) \otimes \chi.$$

The last two sections study the Lie algebra cohomology of certain types of $\mathbf{H}_{t,c}$ -modules. Section 6 concerns about the category $\mathcal{O}$ of $\mathbf{H}_{1,c}$ -modules (see [20]). For example, we show that if $M(\sigma) := \mathbf{H}_{1,c} \otimes_{S(\mathfrak{h}) \rtimes \mathbb{C}[W]} \sigma$ is a standard object in category $\mathcal{O}$, then

$$H_D(M(\sigma)) \cong H^\bullet(\mathfrak{h}^*, M(\sigma)) \otimes \chi \cong H_\bullet(\mathfrak{h}, M(\sigma)) \otimes \chi \cong \sigma \otimes \chi^{-1}.$$

Moreover, if $L(\text{triv})$ is the finite-dimensional irreducible quotient of $M(\text{triv})$ given in Proposition 1.3 of [6], then

$$H_D(L(\text{triv})) \cong H^\bullet(\mathfrak{h}^*, L(\text{triv})) \otimes \chi \cong H_\bullet(\mathfrak{h}, L(\text{triv})) \otimes \chi \cong \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{h} \otimes \chi^{-1}.$$

In Section 7, we study the baby Verma modules for $\mathbf{H}_{0,c}$ (see [16]). We show that if $\overline{L}(\sigma)$ be the irreducible head of a baby Verma module such that as W -modules,

$$H^\bullet(\mathfrak{h}^*, \overline{L}(\sigma)) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \nu_i$$

with all ν_i being irreducible, then the whole set $\{\nu_i \otimes \det_{\mathfrak{h}^*} \mid i = 1, \dots, k\}$ is contained in the same Calogero-Moser cell ([16] Section 7).

2. LIE ALGEBRA COHOMOLOGY AND DIRAC COHOMOLOGY

In this section, we first recall the definition of rational Cherednik algebras $\mathbf{H}_{t,c}$. Then we define $\mathfrak{h}^*$ -cohomology and $\mathfrak{h}$ -homology for $\mathbf{H}_{t,c}$ -modules. In consequence we recall the definitions of Dirac operator, Dirac cohomology and half-Dirac operators for $\mathbf{H}_{t,c}$ and show that $\mathfrak{h}^*$ -cohomology and $\mathfrak{h}$ -homology are indeed associated with the half-Dirac operators.

Definition 2.1. Let W be a complex reflection group acting on a complex vector space $\mathfrak{h}$, i.e., W is a group generated by the pseudo-reflections $s \in \mathcal{R}$ fixing a hyperplane $H_s \in \mathfrak{h}$. Let $\alpha_s \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ be a non-zero vector so that the W -invariant symmetric pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ between $\mathfrak{h}$ and $\mathfrak{h}^*$ gives $\langle y, \alpha_s \rangle = 0$ for all $y \in H_s$. Similarly, we can define $\alpha_s^\vee \in \mathfrak{h}$ corresponding to the action of s on $\mathfrak{h}^*$. Set $V = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}^*$. The rational Cherednik algebra $\mathbf{H}_{t,c}$ associated to $\mathfrak{h}$, W , with parameters $t \in \mathbb{C}$ and W -invariant functions $c : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is defined as the quotient of $S(V) \rtimes \mathbb{C}[W]$ by the relation

$$[y, x] = t\langle y, x \rangle - \sum_{s \in \mathcal{R}} c(s) \frac{\langle y, \alpha_s \rangle \langle \alpha_s^\vee, x \rangle}{\langle \alpha_s^\vee, \alpha_s \rangle} s$$

for all $y \in \mathfrak{h}$ and $x \in \mathfrak{h}^*$.

Let $\mathbf{H}_{t,c}$ be the rational Cherednik algebra corresponding to $W, \mathfrak{h}$ with parameters t and c . Let $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ be a basis of $\mathfrak{h}$, and $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ be the corresponding dual basis of $\mathfrak{h}^*$. In [20], a Casimir-type element $\mathbf{h}$ is defined by

$$\mathbf{h} := \sum_i (x_i y_i + y_i x_i) = 2 \sum_i x_i y_i + nt - \sum_{s \in \mathcal{R}} c(s) s \in \mathbf{H}_{t,c}^W.$$

It gives a natural grading on $\mathbf{H}_{t,c}$ when $t = 1$. Note that the definition of $\mathbf{h}$ does not depend on our choice of basis. Following [20], we make a shift and define $\Omega_{\mathbf{H}_{t,c}}$ in the following.

Definition 2.2.

$$(1) \quad \Omega_{\mathbf{H}_{t,c}} := \mathbf{h} - \sum_{s \in \mathcal{R}} c(s) \frac{1 + \lambda_s}{1 - \lambda_s} s = 2 \sum_i x_i y_i + nt - \sum_{s \in \mathcal{R}} \frac{2c(s)}{1 - \lambda_s} s \in \mathbf{H}_{t,c}^W,$$

where $\lambda_s = \det_{\mathfrak{h}}(s) \in \mathbb{C}^\times$.

By (4.3.8) of [11], we have

$$(2) \quad [\Omega_{\mathbf{H}_{t,c}}, x] = 2tx, [\Omega_{\mathbf{H}_{t,c}}, y] = -2ty$$

for any $x \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ and $y \in \mathfrak{h}$.

We now define the Lie algebra (co)homology of a $\mathbf{H}_{t,c}$ -module, analogous to the case of the $\mathfrak{n}$ and $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}$ -(co)homology of a $\mathfrak{g}$ -module studied in [30].

Definition 2.3. Let M be an $\mathbf{H}_{t,c}$ -module. The $\mathfrak{h}^*$ -cohomology $H^\bullet(\mathfrak{h}^*, M)$ of M is defined to be the cohomology of the complex

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{h}^*}(\wedge^0 \mathfrak{h}^*, M) \xrightarrow{d_0} \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{h}^*}(\wedge^1 \mathfrak{h}^*, M) \xrightarrow{d_1} \dots \xrightarrow{d_{n-1}} \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{h}^*}(\wedge^n \mathfrak{h}^*, M) \rightarrow 0,$$

where the differential is defined by

$$d_p f(x_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge x_{i_p}) := \sum_j (-1)^j x_{i_j} \cdot f(x_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{x_{i_l}} \wedge \dots \wedge x_{i_p}).$$

If we identify $\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{h}^*}(\wedge^p \mathfrak{h}^*, M)$ with $M \otimes \wedge^p \mathfrak{h}$, then $H^\bullet(\mathfrak{h}^*, M)$ is also identified with the cohomology of the complex

$$(3) \quad 0 \rightarrow M \otimes \wedge^0 \mathfrak{h} \xrightarrow{d_0} M \otimes \wedge^1 \mathfrak{h} \xrightarrow{d_1} \dots \xrightarrow{d_{n-1}} M \otimes \wedge^n \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow 0,$$

where the differential is defined by

$$d_p(m \otimes y_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge y_{i_p}) := \sum_j x_j \cdot m \otimes y_j \wedge y_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge y_{i_p}.$$

The boundary map d_p is well-defined because of the following proposition.

Proposition 2.4.

- (a) The definition of d_p is independent of the choice of basis of $\mathfrak{h}$ and $\mathfrak{h}^*$.
 - (b) Treating M and $\wedge^l \mathfrak{h}$ as W -modules, then d_p commutes with the action of W on $M \otimes \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{h}$.
 - (c) $d_{p+1}d_p = 0$.
- Consequently, $H^\bullet(\mathfrak{h}^*, M)$ is a W -module.

Proof. (a) Suppose we have another basis y'_i of $\mathfrak{h}$ given by $y'_i = \sum_j A_{ji} y_j$ for some invertible $A = (A_{ij})$. Then the corresponding dual basis x'_i of $\mathfrak{h}^*$ must be given by $x'_i = \sum_k B_{ki} x_k$, where $B = (A^{-1})^T$. Then it is easy to check that

$$\sum_j x'_j \cdot m \otimes y'_j \wedge y_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge y_{i_p} = \sum_j x_j \cdot m \otimes y_j \wedge y_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge y_{i_p}.$$

Hence, d_p is independent of the basis of $\mathfrak{h}$.

(b) For all $w \in W$,

$$\begin{aligned} w \cdot d_p(m \otimes y_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge y_{i_p}) &= w \sum_j x_j \cdot m \otimes y_j \wedge y_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge y_{i_p} \\ &= \sum_j w x_j \cdot m \otimes w(y_j) \wedge w(y_{i_1}) \wedge \dots \wedge w(y_{i_p}) \\ &= \sum_j w(x_j) w \cdot m \otimes w(y_j) \wedge w(y_{i_1}) \wedge \dots \wedge w(y_{i_p}) \\ &= d_p(w \cdot m \otimes w(y_{i_1}) \wedge \dots \wedge w(y_{i_p})) \\ &= d_p(w \cdot (m \otimes y_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge y_{i_p})), \end{aligned}$$

where the third equality follows from the definition of $\mathbf{H}_{t,c}$, and fourth equality follows from (a).

(c) follows from a direct calculation. $\square$

Definition 2.5. Let M be an $\mathbf{H}_{t,c}$ -module. The $\mathfrak{h}$ -homology $H_\bullet(\mathfrak{h}, M)$ of M is defined to be the homology of the complex

$$(4) \quad 0 \rightarrow M \otimes \wedge^n \mathfrak{h} \xrightarrow{\partial_n} M \otimes \wedge^{n-1} \mathfrak{h} \xrightarrow{\partial_{n-1}} \dots \xrightarrow{\partial_2} M \otimes \mathfrak{h} \xrightarrow{\partial_1} M \rightarrow 0,$$

where the differential is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_p(m \otimes y_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge y_{i_p}) &:= \sum_k (-1)^k y_{i_k} \cdot m \otimes y_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{y_{i_k}} \wedge \dots \wedge y_{i_p} \\ &= \sum_k \sum_j (-1)^k \langle x_j, y_{i_k} \rangle y_j \cdot m \otimes y_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{y_{i_k}} \wedge \dots \wedge y_{i_p}. \end{aligned}$$

We have the following proposition whose proof is similar to that of $\mathfrak{h}^*$ -cohomology.

Proposition 2.6.

- (a) The definition of ∂_p is independent of the choice of basis of $\mathfrak{h}$ and $\mathfrak{h}^*$.
 - (b) Treating M and $\wedge^l \mathfrak{h}$ as W -modules, then ∂_p commutes with the action of W on $M \otimes \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{h}$.
 - (c) $\partial_{p-1} \partial_p = 0$.
- Consequently, $H_\bullet(\mathfrak{h}, M)$ is a W -module.

We can also define $\mathfrak{h}^*$ -homology $H_\bullet(\mathfrak{h}^*, M)$ and $\mathfrak{h}$ -cohomology $H^\bullet(\mathfrak{h}, M)$ analogously. They are related to $\mathfrak{h}^*$ -cohomology and $\mathfrak{h}$ -homology respectively by the Poincaré duality:

Proposition 2.7 (Poincaré duality). Let $\mathfrak{c} = \mathfrak{h}$ or $\mathfrak{h}^*$, then the perfect pairing $\wedge^p \mathfrak{c} \times \wedge^{n-p} \mathfrak{c} \rightarrow \wedge^n \mathfrak{c}$ gives a Poincaré duality between the $H^\bullet(\mathfrak{c}, M)$ and the homology $H_{n-\bullet}(\mathfrak{c}, M)$ defined above. More precisely, for all $i = 1, \dots, n$,

$$H_i(\mathfrak{c}, M) \cong H^{n-i}(\mathfrak{c}, M) \otimes \wedge^n \mathfrak{c}, \quad \text{or} \quad H^i(\mathfrak{c}, M) \cong H_{n-i}(\mathfrak{c}, M) \otimes \wedge^n \mathfrak{c}^*$$

as W -modules.

We now recall the Clifford algebra and spinor module of $V = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}^*$. Define a W -invariant bilinear product on V by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ by $\langle x_i, x_j \rangle = \langle y_i, y_j \rangle = 0$, $\langle x_i, y_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$ (this is the same as the pairing given in Definition 2.1). The **Clifford algebra** $C(V)$ with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the tensor algebra of V subject to the relations

$$x_i x_j + x_j x_i = y_i y_j + y_j y_i = 0, \quad x_i y_j + y_j x_i = -2\delta_{ij}.$$

There is a natural injection $\widetilde{W} \hookrightarrow \text{Pin}(V) \hookrightarrow C(V)^\times$, where $p : \widetilde{W} \rightarrow W$ is the double cover of W given by the pull-back of the Pin cover $p : \text{Pin}(V) \rightarrow O(V)$. For any $s \in \mathcal{R}$, let

$$(5) \quad \mu_s = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_s} - 1/\sqrt{\lambda_s}}{2\langle \alpha_s^\vee, \alpha_s \rangle} \alpha_s \alpha_s^\vee + \sqrt{\lambda_s} \in C(V),$$

where $\sqrt{\lambda_s}$ is a choice of the square root of $\lambda_s = \det_{\mathfrak{h}}(s)$. Then Equation (4.5.3) of [11] says $\{\pm \mu_s\} = p^{-1}(s) \subset \widetilde{W}$, and $\{\pm \mu_s | s \in \mathcal{R}\}$ generate $\widetilde{W}$.

Definition 2.8. The **spinor module** S corresponding to the Clifford algebra $C(V)$ can be realized as $S \cong \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{h}$ as vector spaces. The $C(V)$ action (cf. [11]) on S is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} x(y_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge y_{i_p}) &= 2 \sum_j (-1)^j \langle x, y_{i_j} \rangle y_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{y_{i_j}} \wedge \cdots \wedge y_{i_p}, \quad x \in \mathfrak{h}^*; \\ y(y_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge y_{i_p}) &= y \wedge y_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge y_{i_p}, \quad y \in \mathfrak{h}. \end{aligned}$$

The following proposition describes S as a $\widetilde{W}$ -module:

Proposition 2.9. Every $\widetilde{w} \in \widetilde{W}$ preserves every $\wedge^l \mathfrak{h} \subset S$. In particular, for every $v_i \in \mathfrak{h}$,

$$\widetilde{w} \cdot v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_l = \chi(\widetilde{w}) \cdot (p(\widetilde{w})(v_1) \wedge p(\widetilde{w})(v_2) \wedge \cdots \wedge p(\widetilde{w})(v_l))$$

with χ being a genuine one-dimensional $\widetilde{W}$ -module satisfying $\chi^2(\widetilde{w}) = \det_{\mathfrak{h}^*}(p(\widetilde{w}))$. Therefore, as $\widetilde{W}$ -modules,

$$(6) \quad S \cong \chi \otimes \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{h},$$

where $\wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{h}$ is considered as a $\widetilde{W}$ -module that factors through $p : \widetilde{W} \rightarrow W$.

Proof. We only need to prove the proposition for all generators $\pm\mu_s \in \widetilde{W}$. Let $\alpha_s^\vee \in \mathfrak{h}$, $\alpha_s \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ be as in Definition 2.1, and fix a basis $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ of $\mathfrak{h}$ with $y_1 = \alpha_s^\vee$, $y_2, \dots, y_n \in \ker(Id - s)|_{\mathfrak{h}}$. Then

$$s(y_1) = \lambda_s y_1, \quad s(y_i) = y_i \text{ for } i > 1.$$

For $1 \leq l_1 < \cdots < l_k \leq n$, it is easy to check that

$$\alpha_s \alpha_s^\vee \cdot y_{l_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge y_{l_k} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } l_1 = 1; \\ -2 \langle \alpha_s^\vee, \alpha_s \rangle y_{l_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge y_{l_k}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Using (5), $\pm\mu_s$ acts on $y_{l_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge y_{l_k}$ by $\pm\mu_s \cdot y_{l_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge y_{l_k} = \frac{\pm 1}{\sqrt{\lambda_s}} s(y_{l_1}) \wedge \cdots \wedge s(y_{l_k})$ in both cases. Hence the result follows from the fact that $(\frac{\pm 1}{\sqrt{\lambda_s}})^2 = \lambda_s^{-1} = \det_{\mathfrak{h}^*}(s)$. $\square$

Definition 2.10. The **Dirac operator** is defined by $D = D_x + D_y$, with $D_x, D_y \in \mathbf{H} \otimes C(V)$ being defined by

$$D_x := \sum_i x_i \otimes y_i, \quad D_y := \sum_i y_i \otimes x_i.$$

If M is a $\mathbf{H}_{t,c}$ -module, then D acts on $M \otimes S$ by

$$D(m \otimes s) = (D_x + D_y)(m \otimes s) = \sum_i x_i \cdot m \otimes y_i s + \sum_j y_j \cdot m \otimes x_j s.$$

The **Dirac cohomology** of M is defined by

$$H_D(M) := \ker D / (\ker D \cap \text{im} D).$$

Naturally, D_x and D_y are called **half-Dirac operators**.

Theorem 2.11 (Lemma 2.4, Proposition 4.9 [11]). *We have*

- (a) D , D_x and D_y are independent of the choice of basis of $\mathfrak{h}$.
- (b) Let $\Delta : \mathbb{C}[\widetilde{W}] \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{t,c} \otimes C(V)$ be the diagonal map $\tilde{w} \mapsto p(\tilde{w}) \otimes \tilde{w}$. Then D , D_x and D_y commute with $\Delta(\mathbb{C}[\widetilde{W}])$.
- (c) $D_x^2 = D_y^2 = 0$.

Consequently, $H_D(M)$, $\ker D_x / \text{im } D_x$ and $\ker D_y / \text{im } D_y$ are naturally $\widetilde{W}$ -modules.

- (d) Let $\Omega_{\widetilde{W},c}$ the Casimir element of $\mathbb{C}[\widetilde{W}]$ defined (2.3.12) [11]. Set

$$\tilde{\Omega}_{\mathbf{H}_{t,c}} = -\Omega_{\mathbf{H}_{t,c}} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \frac{t}{2} \left(\sum_i x_i y_i + n \right).$$

Then $\tilde{\Omega}_{\mathbf{H}_{t,c}}, \Delta(\Omega_{\widetilde{W},c}) \in (\mathbf{H}_{t,c} \otimes C(V))^{\widetilde{W}}$ and D^2 is given by

$$D^2 = \tilde{\Omega}_{\mathbf{H}_{t,c}} - \Delta(\Omega_{\widetilde{W},c}) \in (\mathbf{H}_{t,c} \otimes C(V))^{\widetilde{W}}.$$

Recall from Proposition 2.9 that we can identify S with $\wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{h} \otimes \chi$ as $\widetilde{W}$ -modules. With this identification,

$$D_x = d \otimes \chi; \quad D_y = 2\partial \otimes \chi.$$

Thus, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 2.12. *There are $\widetilde{W}$ -module isomorphisms:*

$$\ker D_x / \text{im } D_x \cong H^\bullet(\mathfrak{h}^*, M) \otimes \chi \quad \text{and} \quad \ker D_y / \text{im } D_y \cong H_\bullet(\mathfrak{h}, M) \otimes \chi.$$

Finally, we give the definition of a class of modules that will be studied in the coming sections.

Definition 2.13. *A $\mathbf{H}_{t,c}$ -module M is said to be $\Omega_{\mathbf{H}}$ -admissible if M can be decomposed into a direct sum of generalized $\Omega_{\mathbf{H}}$ -eigenspaces, i.e.*

$$M = \bigoplus_{\lambda} M_{\lambda}, \quad M_{\lambda} = \{m \in M \mid (\Omega_{\mathbf{H}} - \lambda)^n m = 0\}$$

with each generalized $\Omega_{\mathbf{H}}$ -eigenspace M_{λ} being finite-dimensional.

By Lemma 3.13 of [11], we have the following proposition.

Proposition 2.14. *Let M be a $\mathbf{H}_{t,c}$ -module that is $\Omega_{\mathbf{H}}$ -admissible. Then both $\ker D^2$ and the Dirac cohomology $H_D(M)$ are finite-dimensional $\widetilde{W}$ -modules.*

3. VOGAN'S CONJECTURE FOR LIE ALGEBRA COHOMOLOGY

In this section, we write $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{H}_{t,c}$ and prove a version of Vogan's conjecture for D_x and D_y . Roughly speaking, it gives a connection between the 'central character' of a $\mathbf{H}$ -module M (denoted as $\mathcal{B}$ -character below), and the central characters of $\mathbb{C}[W]$ -modules $H^\bullet(\mathfrak{h}^*, M)$ and $H_\bullet(\mathfrak{h}, M)$. This establishes an analogue of the Casselman-Osborne lemma.

We recall that Ciubotaru has proved a version of Vogan's conjecture for the Dirac operator D in [11]. To prove the similar results for half-Dirac operators, we need to consider a $\mathbb{C}^*$ action on $\mathbf{H}$ and $C(V)$.

Definition 3.1. Recall that we have the following Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt decomposition

$$\mathbf{H} \cong S(\mathfrak{h}) \otimes \mathbb{C}[W] \otimes S(\mathfrak{h}^*)$$

as vector spaces. We define a $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action on $\mathbf{H}$ by

$$\lambda \cdot x = \lambda^{-1}x, \quad \lambda \cdot y = \lambda y, \quad \lambda \cdot w = w$$

for all $x \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, $y \in \mathfrak{h}$ and $w \in W$. We also define a $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action on $C(V) \cong \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{h} \otimes \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{h}^*$ by

$$\lambda \cdot x = \lambda^{-1}x, \quad \lambda \cdot y = \lambda y$$

for all $x \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, $y \in \mathfrak{h}$. Then we define a subalgebra of $\mathbf{H} \otimes C(V)$ by

$$\mathbf{A} = (\mathbf{H} \otimes C(V))^{\mathbb{C}^*}.$$

Remark 3.2. (a) It is easy to check that we have the following $\Delta(\mathbb{C}[\widetilde{W}])$ -module isomorphism

$$\mathbf{A} \cong \bigoplus_{k_1+l_1=k_2+l_2} (S^{k_1}(\mathfrak{h}) \otimes \mathbb{C}[W] \otimes S^{k_2}(\mathfrak{h}^*)) \otimes (\wedge^{l_1} \mathfrak{h} \otimes \wedge^{l_2} \mathfrak{h}^*) \subset \mathbf{H} \otimes C(V).$$

(b) The above definition of $\mathbf{A}$ coincides with $\mathbf{A} := Z_{\mathbf{H} \otimes C(V)}(\widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathbf{H}})$ defined by Ciubotaru [11] in case of rational Cherednik algebras with $t \neq 0$. Indeed, by Equation (2), if $f_1 \in S^{k_1}(\mathfrak{h})$, $f_2 \in S^{k_2}(\mathfrak{h}^*)$, $g_1 \in \wedge^{l_1} \mathfrak{h}$ and $g_2 \in \wedge^{l_2} \mathfrak{h}^*$, then

$$(7) \quad [\widetilde{\Omega}_{\mathbf{H}}, f_1 f_2 \otimes g_1 g_2] = -2t(k_1 + l_1 - k_2 - l_2) f_1 f_2 \otimes g_1 g_2.$$

Therefore, $f_1 f_2 \otimes g_1 g_2 \in \mathbf{A}$ iff $k_1 + l_1 = k_2 + l_2$.

(c) By definition, we have $\Delta(\mathbb{C}[\widetilde{W}])$, D_x, D_y are all contained in $\mathbf{A}$. Therefore, $\widetilde{W}$ acts on $\mathbf{A}$ by conjugation. We denote by $\mathbf{A}^{\widetilde{W}}$ the subalgebra of $\widetilde{W}$ -invariants in $\mathbf{A}$.

By Proposition 2.12(b), $D_x, D_y \in \mathbf{A}^{\widetilde{W}}$. Define the maps $\delta_d, \delta_{\partial} : \mathbf{A}^{\widetilde{W}} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^{\widetilde{W}}$ by

$$(8) \quad \delta_d a = D_x a - \epsilon(a) D_x, \quad \delta_{\partial} a = D_y a - \epsilon(a) D_y,$$

where $\epsilon(a) = a$ if $a \in \mathbf{H} \otimes C^{\text{even}}(V)$ and $\epsilon(a) = -a$ if $a \in \mathbf{H} \otimes C^{\text{odd}}(V)$.

Remark 3.3. In [3] or [11], $\mathbf{A}^{\text{triv}}$, $\mathbf{A}^{\text{det}}$ are introduced as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}^{\text{triv}} &:= \{a \in \mathbf{A} \mid \Delta(\widetilde{w}) \cdot a = a \cdot \Delta(\widetilde{w})\} = \mathbf{A}^{\widetilde{W}}, \\ \mathbf{A}^{\text{det}} &:= \{a \in \mathbf{A} \mid \Delta(\widetilde{w}) \cdot a = \det_V(p(\widetilde{w}))a \cdot \Delta(\widetilde{w})\}. \end{aligned}$$

However, in the setting of rational Cherednik algebras, $\det_V(p(\widetilde{w})) = 1$ since $\det_{\mathfrak{h}}(s) \cdot \det_{\mathfrak{h}^*}(s) = 1$ for all $s \in \mathcal{R}$. Hence $\mathbf{A}^{\text{triv}} = \mathbf{A}^{\text{det}} = \mathbf{A}^{\widetilde{W}}$.

The main theorem of this section is the following:

Theorem 3.4. For $\delta_d, \delta_\partial : \mathbf{A}^{\widetilde{W}} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^{\widetilde{W}}$ defined in (8), we have

$$\ker \delta_d = \text{im } \delta_d \oplus \Delta(\mathbb{C}[\widetilde{W}]^{\widetilde{W}}).$$

The results hold analogously for δ_∂ .

For the rest of this section, we will only prove the Theorem for δ_d . The proof of δ_∂ is analogous to that of δ_d .

Lemma 3.5. We have

- (a) $\delta_d^2 = 0$ and consequently $\text{im } \delta_d \subset \ker \delta_d$.
- (b) The map δ_d is an odd derivation, i.e. $\delta_d(ab) = \delta_d(a)b + \epsilon(a)\delta_d(b)$. Therefore, if $a, b \in \ker \delta_d$, $ab \in \ker \delta_d$ and $\ker \delta_d$ is a subalgebra of $\mathbf{A}^{\widetilde{W}}$.

Proof. (a) By the definition of δ_d , $\delta_d^2 a = D_x^2 a - a D_x^2 = 0 - 0 = 0$.

(b) $\delta_d(ab) = D_x(ab) - \epsilon(ab)D_x = D_x ab - \epsilon(a)D_x b + \epsilon(a)D_x b - \epsilon(a)\epsilon(b)D_x = \delta_d(a)b + \epsilon(a)\delta_d(b)$. $\square$

Define a filtration $\mathbf{H}^0 \subset \mathbf{H}^1 \subset \mathbf{H}^2 \subset \dots$ on $\mathbf{H}$ by taking $\deg(x) = \deg(y) = 1$ for $x \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, $y \in \mathfrak{h}$ and $\deg(w) = 0$ for all $w \in W$. Then the graded algebra is given by $gr(\mathbf{H}) \cong \mathbf{H}_{0,0} \cong S(V) \rtimes \mathbb{C}[W]$. So we have a graded algebra $gr(\mathbf{H}) \otimes C(V)$ as well.

With the filtration on $\mathbf{H}$, define the filtration $\mathbf{A}^0 \subset \mathbf{A}^1 \subset \dots$ of $\mathbf{A}$, where $\mathbf{A}^n = \mathbf{A} \cap \mathbf{H}^n \otimes C(V)$. So we have a graded algebra

$$gr(\mathbf{A}) \cong \bigoplus_{k_1+l_1=k_2+l_2} (S^{k_1}(\mathfrak{h}) \otimes S^{k_2}(\mathfrak{h}^*)) \rtimes \mathbb{C}[W] \otimes (\wedge^{l_1} \mathfrak{h} \otimes \wedge^{l_2} \mathfrak{h}^*) \subset \mathbf{H}_{0,0} \otimes C(V).$$

Note that the action δ_d increases the filtration by 1, while the action of $\Delta(\widetilde{w})$ preserves the filtration. So $gr(\mathbf{A})^{\widetilde{W}} = gr(\mathbf{A}^{\widetilde{W}})$, and δ_d descend to the map

$$\overline{\delta_d} : gr(\mathbf{A})^{\widetilde{W}} \rightarrow gr(\mathbf{A})^{\widetilde{W}}.$$

Lemma 3.6. We have Let $\overline{\delta_d} : gr(\mathbf{A})^{\widetilde{W}} \rightarrow gr(\mathbf{A})^{\widetilde{W}}$ be defined as in Equation (8). Then the following holds:

- (a) $\Delta(\mathbb{C}[\widetilde{W}]^{\widetilde{W}}) \subset \ker \overline{\delta_d}$.
- (b) $\text{im } \overline{\delta_d} \cap \Delta(\mathbb{C}[\widetilde{W}]^{\widetilde{W}}) = 0$, hence

$$\text{im } \overline{\delta_d} \oplus \Delta(\mathbb{C}[\widetilde{W}]^{\widetilde{W}}) \subset \ker \overline{\delta_d}.$$

Proof. (a) For all $\widetilde{w} \in \widetilde{W}$, Theorem 2.11(b) says that $\Delta(\widetilde{w})D_x - D_x\Delta(\widetilde{w}) = 0$. Also, recall $\widetilde{w}$ is generated by $\pm\mu_s \in C^{even}(V)$. Hence $\epsilon(\Delta(\widetilde{w})) = \Delta(\widetilde{w})$ and $\Delta(\mathbb{C}[\widetilde{W}]^{\widetilde{W}}) \subset \ker \overline{\delta_d}$.

(b) We have already seen that $\overline{\delta_d}^2 = 0$. So $\text{im } \overline{\delta_d} \subset \ker \overline{\delta_d}$. On the other hand, every summand in $\text{im } \delta_d$ must have an $x_i \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ in its $S(V)$ -component, while every element in $\Delta(\mathbb{C}[\widetilde{W}]^{\widetilde{W}})$ does not contain any $\mathfrak{h}^*$ factor. Hence they must be mutually disjoint. $\square$

Proposition 3.7. *We have*

$$\ker \overline{\delta_d} = \text{im } \overline{\delta_d} \oplus \Delta(\mathbb{C}[\widetilde{W}]^{\widetilde{W}}).$$

Proof. By the above lemma, we just need to show

$$\ker \overline{\delta_d} \subset \text{im } \overline{\delta_d} \oplus \Delta(\mathbb{C}[\widetilde{W}]^{\widetilde{W}}).$$

Suppose $a \in \ker \overline{\delta_d}$, write $a = a_1 + \cdots + a_{|W|} \in \text{gr}(\mathbf{A})^{\widetilde{W}}$ where a_i is the sum of the elements of the form $fw_i \otimes g$ for $f \in S^{k_1}(\mathfrak{h}) \otimes S^{k_2}(\mathfrak{h}^*)$, $w_i \in W$ and $g \in \wedge^{l_1} \mathfrak{h} \otimes \wedge^{l_2} \mathfrak{h}^*$ with $k_1 + l_1 = k_2 + l_2$.

For simplicity of notation, we write $\overline{\delta_d}(\alpha) = D_x \alpha - \epsilon(\alpha) D_x$ for all elements $\alpha \in \text{gr}(\mathbf{A})$. Then $\overline{\delta_d}(a) = \overline{\delta_d}(a_1) + \cdots + \overline{\delta_d}(a_{|W|}) = 0$. Note that $\overline{\delta_d}$ does not change the w_i component on each a_i . Hence, we must have

$$\overline{\delta_d}(a_i) = 0, \text{ for all } i.$$

The summands in a_i are of the form

$$fw_i \otimes g = (f \otimes g \cdot \widetilde{w}_i^{-1})(w_i \otimes \widetilde{w}_i) = [(fw_i \otimes g) \cdot \Delta(\widetilde{w}_i^{-1})] \Delta(\widetilde{w}_i)$$

for some $\widetilde{w}_i \in \widetilde{W}$ satisfying $p(\widetilde{w}_i) = w_i$. Now $\overline{\delta_d}(a_i) = 0$ means

$$0 = \overline{\delta_d}[a_i \cdot \Delta(\widetilde{w}_i^{-1}) \cdot \Delta(\widetilde{w}_i)] = \overline{\delta_d}(a_i \cdot \Delta(\widetilde{w}_i^{-1})) \cdot \Delta(\widetilde{w}_i) \pm (a_i \cdot \Delta(\widetilde{w}_i^{-1})) \cdot \overline{\delta_d}(\Delta(\widetilde{w}_i)),$$

but we know $\overline{\delta_d}(\Delta(\widetilde{w}_i)) = 0$ since D_x commutes with $\Delta(\mathbb{C}[\widetilde{W}])$, hence

$$\overline{\delta_d}(a_i \Delta(\widetilde{w}_i^{-1})) = 0, \quad a_i \Delta(\widetilde{w}_i^{-1}) \in (S^{k_1}(\mathfrak{h}) \otimes S^{k_2}(\mathfrak{h}^*)) \otimes (\wedge^{l_1} \mathfrak{h} \otimes \wedge^{l_2} \mathfrak{h}^*),$$

with $k_1 + l_1 = k_2 + l_2$.

It follows that $\overline{\delta_d}$ is the differential in the Koszul complex

$$\bigoplus_m \bigoplus_{k_2+l_2=m} S^{k_2}(\mathfrak{h}^*) \otimes \wedge^{l_2} \mathfrak{h}^*,$$

which has cohomology $\mathbb{C}$ on degree $m = 0$ and zero at other degrees. Therefore, by upon restricting $\overline{\delta_d}$ to

$$\bigoplus_m \bigoplus_{k_1+l_1=k_2+l_2=m} S^{k_1}(\mathfrak{h}) \otimes \wedge^{l_1} \mathfrak{h}^* \otimes S^{k_2}(\mathfrak{h}^*) \otimes \wedge^{l_2} \mathfrak{h}^*,$$

we have

$$\ker \overline{\delta_d} = \text{im } \overline{\delta_d} \oplus \bigoplus_{k_1+l_1=0} S^{k_1}(\mathfrak{h}) \otimes \wedge^{l_1}(\mathfrak{h}) \otimes \mathbb{C}(1 \otimes 1) = \text{im } \overline{\delta_d} \oplus \mathbb{C}(1 \otimes 1).$$

In particular, we have

$$a_i \Delta(\widetilde{w}_i^{-1}) = \overline{\delta_d} z_i + \beta_i(1 \otimes 1),$$

where $\beta_i \in \mathbb{C}$ and $a_i = \overline{\delta_d}(z_i \Delta(\widetilde{w}_i)) + \beta_i \Delta(\widetilde{w}_i)$. Hence,

$$a = \sum_i a_i = \overline{\delta_d}(\sum_i z_i \Delta(\widetilde{w}_i)) + \sum_i \beta_i \Delta(\widetilde{w}_i).$$

Therefore, $\sum_i \beta_i \Delta(\widetilde{w}_i)$ must be $\widetilde{W}$ -invariant, i.e. $\sum_i \beta_i \Delta(\widetilde{w}_i) \in \Delta(\mathbb{C}[\widetilde{W}]^{\widetilde{W}})$ and the proposition is proved. $\square$

To finish the proof of Theorem 3.4, one needs to remove the $-$'s in Proposition 3.7:

Proof of Theorem 3.4. We have already shown in Lemma 3.6 that $\text{im } \delta_d \oplus \mathbb{C}[\widetilde{W}]^{\widetilde{W}} \subset \ker \delta_d$ so we check the reverse inclusion. Suppose $a \in \mathbf{A}^{\widetilde{W}, n}$ is in $\ker \delta_d$, then $\overline{a} \in \ker \overline{\delta_d}$ and $\overline{\delta_d}(\overline{a}) = 0$. By Proposition 3.7, there exists $\overline{b} \in \text{gr}(\mathbf{A}^{\widetilde{W}})_{n-1}$ and $s \in \mathbb{C}[\widetilde{W}]^{\widetilde{W}}$ such that

$$\overline{a} = \overline{\delta_d} \overline{b} + \Delta(s).$$

Pick $b \in \mathbf{A}^{\widetilde{W}, n-1}$ such that $\text{gr}(b) = \overline{b}$. Then

$$\overline{a - \delta_d b - \Delta(s)} = 0$$

and hence $a - \delta_d b - \Delta(s) \in \mathbf{A}^{\widetilde{W}, n-1}$. Note that

$$a - \delta_d b - \Delta(s) \in \ker \delta_d.$$

By induction on n , we have $a - \delta_d b - \Delta(s) \in \text{im } \delta_d \oplus \mathbb{C}[\widetilde{W}]^{\widetilde{W}}$. Thus, $a \in \text{im } \delta_d \oplus \mathbb{C}[\widetilde{W}]^{\widetilde{W}}$ as required. $\square$

Let $\mathcal{B}$ be an abelian subalgebra of $\ker \delta_d \cap (\mathbf{H} \otimes C^{\text{even}}(V))$. Then, by definition of δ_d , $\mathcal{B}$ commutes with D_x and $\Delta(\mathbb{C}[\widetilde{W}])$. So $\ker D_x / \text{im } D_x$ is naturally a $\mathbb{C}[\widetilde{W}] \otimes \mathcal{B}$ -module.

Theorem 3.8. Let $\zeta_d : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \Delta(\mathbb{C}[\widetilde{W}]^{\widetilde{W}})$ be the restriction to $\mathcal{B}$ of the projection map given by Theorem 3.4. Then we have

(a) ζ_d is an algebra homomorphism.

(b) Let M be an $\Omega_{\mathbf{H}}$ -admissible module (Definition 2.13). Suppose that $\tilde{\sigma} \otimes \beta$ is an isotypical component in $\ker D_x / \text{im } D_x$, where $\tilde{\sigma}$ is an irreducible $\widetilde{W}$ -module and β is a $\mathcal{B}$ -character. Then the morphism

$$\zeta_d^* : \text{Irr}(\widetilde{W}) \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{B}$$

satisfies the following condition

$$\zeta_d^*(\tilde{\sigma}) = \beta.$$

The results hold analogously by replacing D_x with D_y .

Proof. We only present the proof for δ_d below:

(a) Let $b_1, b_2 \in \mathcal{B}$, then $b_i = \delta_d(a_i) + \zeta_d(b_i)$ for some $a_i \in \mathbf{A}$, so

$$\begin{aligned} b_1 b_2 &= \delta_d(a_1) \delta_d(a_2) + \delta_d(a_1) \zeta_d(b_2) + \zeta_d(b_1) \delta_d(a_2) + \zeta_d(b_1) \zeta_d(b_2) \\ &= \delta_d(a_1 \delta_d(a_2) + a_1 \zeta_d(b_2) + \zeta_d(b_1) a_2) + \zeta_d(b_1) \zeta_d(b_2). \end{aligned}$$

The second equality comes from the facts that $\delta_d^2 = 0$, $\delta_d(\Delta(\tilde{w})) = 0$ and δ_d is a derivation. Hence by the uniqueness of the decomposition in Theorem 3.4,

$$\zeta_d(b_1 b_2) = \zeta_d(b_1) \zeta_d(b_2)$$

and the result follows.

(b) Let $0 \neq z \in \mathcal{B}$. By Theorem 3.4, we have

$$z = \zeta_d(z) + D_x a - \epsilon(a) D_x$$

for some $a \in \mathbf{H} \otimes C(V)$. Let $\tilde{\alpha} \neq 0$ be an element in the $\tilde{\sigma} \otimes \beta$ component of $\ker D_x / \text{im } D_x$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} z \cdot \tilde{\alpha} &= \zeta_d(z) \cdot \tilde{\alpha} + D_x a \cdot \tilde{\alpha} - \epsilon(a) D_x \cdot \tilde{\alpha} \\ \beta(z) \tilde{\alpha} &= \tilde{\sigma}(\zeta_d(z)) \tilde{\alpha} + D_x a \cdot \tilde{\alpha} \\ (\beta(z) - \tilde{\sigma}(\zeta_d(z))) \tilde{\alpha} &= D_x a \cdot \tilde{\alpha}, \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality comes from the fact that $\tilde{\alpha}$ is an $\tilde{\sigma} \otimes \beta$ isotypic element in $\ker D_x / \text{im } D_x$. Therefore, the left hand side of the equality is in $\ker D_x / \text{im } D_x$, and the right hand side is in $\text{im } D_x$ and hence it must be equal to zero, i.e.

$$(\beta(z) - \tilde{\sigma}(\zeta_d(z))) \tilde{\alpha} = 0.$$

However, $\tilde{\alpha} \neq 0$, and it follows that $\beta(z) - \tilde{\sigma}(\zeta_d(z)) = 0$ for all $z \in \mathcal{B}$ and the result holds. $\square$

With the identification $\ker D_x / \text{im } D_x \cong H^\bullet(\mathfrak{h}^*, M) \otimes \chi$, the following corollary is straightforward:

Theorem 3.9. *Retain the notations in Theorem 3.8. Suppose $\sigma \otimes \beta$ is an isotypical component in $H^\bullet(\mathfrak{h}^*, M)$, where σ is a W -module and β is a $\mathcal{B}$ -character. Then*

$$\zeta_d^*(\sigma \otimes \chi) = \beta.$$

The similar statement holds for $H_\bullet(\mathfrak{h}, M)$ and ζ_{∂}^* . (Note that $\sigma \otimes \chi$ is an irreducible $\widetilde{W}$ -module for any irreducible W -module σ .)

4. EMBEDDING OF DIRAC COHOMOLOGY INTO LIE ALGEBRA COHOMOLOGY

In this section we describe the relation between Dirac cohomology and Lie algebra (co)homology. The main theorems are Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.5.

Theorem 4.1. *If M be an $\mathbf{H} := \mathbf{H}_{t,c}$ -module so that $\ker D^2$ is finite-dimensional, then we have the following $\widetilde{W}$ -module injective homomorphisms*

$$H_D(M) \hookrightarrow H^\bullet(\mathfrak{h}^*, M) \otimes \chi, \quad H_D(M) \hookrightarrow H_\bullet(\mathfrak{h}, M) \otimes \chi.$$

Remark 4.2. *If M is $\Omega_{\mathbf{H}}$ -admissible (Definition 2.13), then Proposition 2.14 says that $\ker D^2$ is finite-dimensional, and the hypothesis of the Theorem 4.1 holds.*

We now prove the first inclusion of Theorem 4.1. The second inclusion can be proved in an analogous way.

Lemma 4.3. *Identify S with $\wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{h}$ as vector spaces. Define an increasing $\widetilde{W}$ -invariant filtration on $\ker D$ in $M \otimes S$ by*

$$0 = (\ker D)_{-1} \subset (\ker D)_0 \subset \cdots \subset (\ker D)_{n-1} \subset (\ker D)_n = \ker D,$$

with $(\ker D)_i = \ker D \cap (\bigoplus_{p=0}^i M \otimes \wedge^p \mathfrak{h})$. Then we have an injective $\widetilde{W}$ -module homomorphism:

$$f : gr(\ker D) \hookrightarrow \ker D_x \cap \ker D^2.$$

Remark 4.4. *Since the action of $\Delta(\mathbb{C}[\widetilde{W}])$ on $M \otimes S$ preserves $M \otimes \wedge^l \mathfrak{h}$ for each l , we have an isomorphism of $\widetilde{W}$ -modules $\ker D \cong gr(\ker D)$ and hence the injection of $\widetilde{W}$ -modules in the above lemma can be rewritten as:*

$$f' : \ker D \hookrightarrow \ker D_x \cap \ker D^2.$$

Proof. Suppose $\widetilde{m}_0 + \cdots + \widetilde{m}_i \in (\ker D)_i$, with $\widetilde{m}_p \in M \otimes \wedge^p \mathfrak{h}$, then

$$0 = D(\widetilde{m}_0 + \cdots + \widetilde{m}_i) = (D_x + D_y)(\widetilde{m}_0 + \cdots + \widetilde{m}_i) = \sum_{p=0}^i D_y \widetilde{m}_p + \sum_{p=0}^{i-1} D_x \widetilde{m}_p + D_x(\widetilde{m}_i).$$

Note that the last term is the only term in $M \otimes \wedge^{i+1} \mathfrak{h}$, hence $\widetilde{m}_i \in \ker D_x$ and we can define a map

$$\begin{aligned} f_i : (\ker D)_i / (\ker D)_{i+1} &\rightarrow \ker D_x; \\ \widetilde{m}_0 + \cdots + \widetilde{m}_i &\mapsto \widetilde{m}_i. \end{aligned}$$

To check the image is in $\ker D^2$, we note that $D^2 = D_x D_y + D_y D_x$ preserves the degrees. Then

$$0 = D^2(\widetilde{m}_0 + \cdots + \widetilde{m}_i) = D^2(\widetilde{m}_0) + \cdots + D^2(\widetilde{m}_i),$$

and it follows that $D^2(\widetilde{m}_i) = 0$. Now we show that f_i is injective. If $\widetilde{m}' = \widetilde{m}_0' + \cdots + \widetilde{m}_i' \in (\ker D)_i$ such that $f_i(\widetilde{m}_0' + \cdots + \widetilde{m}_i') = 0$, then $\widetilde{m}_i' = 0$. Hence, $\widetilde{m}' \in (\ker D)_{i-1}$. Thus, we have an injective map

$$f := \bigoplus_i f_i : gr(\ker D) \hookrightarrow \ker D_x \cap \ker D^2.$$

□

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Since $H_D(M)$ is a quotient of $\ker D \subset \ker D^2$, we can focus on the finite-dimensional subspace $U = \ker D^2$ only. Write D' be the restriction of D to U , we have $(D')^2 = 0$ and $\text{im } D' \subset \ker D'$ and $H_D(M) = \ker D' / \text{im } D'$.

Denote D'_x be the restriction of D_x to U . Note that if $v \in U$,

$$D^2(D_x(v)) = (D_x D_y + D_y D_x)(D_x(v)) = D_x(D_x D_y + D_y D_x)(v) = D_x D^2 v = 0.$$

Hence D'_x maps to U to U . Also, since $D_x^2 = 0$, so $(D'_x)^2 = 0$ and $\text{im } D'_x \subset \ker D'_x$. Now for any irreducible $\widetilde{W}$ -module ν :

$$[\nu : \ker D']_{\widetilde{W}} + [\nu : \text{im } D']_{\widetilde{W}} = [\nu : U]_{\widetilde{W}} = [\nu : \ker D'_x]_{\widetilde{W}} + [\nu : \text{im } D'_x]_{\widetilde{W}}.$$

By Lemma 4.3, $[\nu : \ker D']_{\widetilde{W}} \leq [\nu : \ker D'_x]_{\widetilde{W}}$ for all ν . Hence, $[\nu : \text{im } D']_{\widetilde{W}} \geq [\nu : \text{im } D'_x]_{\widetilde{W}}$ and hence we have an inclusion

$$H_D(M) = \ker D' / \text{im } D' \hookrightarrow \ker D'_x / \text{im } D'_x$$

of W -modules. Note that $\ker D'_x / \text{im } D'_x$ is naturally a subspace of the following space

$$\ker D_x / \text{im } D_x \cong H^\bullet(\mathfrak{h}^*, M) \otimes \chi.$$

Thus, the proposition is proved. $\square$

Here is a sufficient condition for the inclusions in Theorem 4.1 to be isomorphisms.

Theorem 4.5. *Let M be an $\Omega_{\mathbf{H}}$ -admissible $\mathbf{H}$ -module (Definition 2.13) with an eigenspace decomposition $M = \bigoplus_{\lambda} M_{\lambda}$. If M satisfies the **parity condition**, i.e.*

$$[H^{\text{even}}(\mathfrak{h}^*, M) : H^{\text{odd}}(\mathfrak{h}^*, M)]_W = 0,$$

then $H_D(M) \cong H^\bullet(\mathfrak{h}^*, M) \otimes \chi$. The analogous statement holds also for $H_\bullet(\mathfrak{h}, M)$.

As before, we only prove the theorem for $H^\bullet(\mathfrak{h}^*, M)$. The proof is analogous to that of [28]. However, the proof given there requires the fact that weight spaces of $M \otimes S$ are finite-dimensional, yet in our setting the multiplicities of irreducible W -modules can be infinite. So we have to decompose $M \otimes S$ into a direct sum of finite-dimensional W -modules, where D_x, D_y and therefore D will act on each summand individually.

Identify S with $\wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{h}$ as vector spaces. Under the hypothesis, we have the $\Omega_{\mathbf{H}}$ -eigenspace decomposition of $M = \bigoplus_a M_a$. Define a bi-grading on $M \otimes S = \bigoplus_{a,j} (M \otimes S)_{a,j}$ by

$$(9) \quad (M \otimes S)_{a,l} = M_a \otimes \wedge^l \mathfrak{h}.$$

By (2) and the definitions of D_x and D_y , D_x maps $(M \otimes S)_{a,l}$ to $(M \otimes S)_{a+2,l+1}$, and D_y maps $(M \otimes S)_{a,l}$ to $(M \otimes S)_{a-2,l-1}$.

Definition 4.6. *Given the bi-grading of $M \otimes S$ in (9), set*

$$U_r := \bigoplus_{a-2l=r} M_{a,l}, \quad U_r^+ := \bigoplus_{a-4k=r} M_{a,2k}, \quad U_r^- := \bigoplus_{a-4k+2=r} M_{a,2k-1}.$$

We can express U_r as a complex :

$$0 \rightarrow M_{r,0} \xrightarrow{D_x} M_{r+2,1} \xrightarrow{D_x} \dots \xrightarrow{D_x} M_{r+2n,n} \rightarrow 0$$

We define

$$H^i(U_r, D_x) := \ker(D_x : M_{r+2i,i} \rightarrow M_{r+2i+2,i+1}) / \text{im}(D_x : M_{r+2i-2,i-1} \rightarrow M_{r+2i,i}).$$

Then we have

$$\ker D_x / \operatorname{im} D_x = \bigoplus_r H^\bullet(U_r, D_x) = \bigoplus_r (H^{\text{even}}(U_r, D_x) \oplus H^{\text{odd}}(U_r, D_x)).$$

Replacing D_x with D_y , we define $H_i(U_r, D_y)$ analogously.
As for D , let

$$D_r : U_r \rightarrow U_r; \quad D_r^\pm : U_r^\pm \rightarrow U_r^\mp$$

be the restriction of D on U_r , and

$$H_D(U_r) := \ker D_r / (\ker D_r \cap \operatorname{im} D_r), \quad H_D^\pm(U_r) := \ker D_r^\pm / (\ker D_r^\pm \cap \operatorname{im} D_r^\mp).$$

Then

$$H_D(M) = \bigoplus_r H_D(U_r) = \bigoplus_r (H_D^+(U_r) \oplus H_D^-(U_r)).$$

So we can work on the finite dimensional space U_r instead of the whole space $M \otimes S$.

Lemma 4.7. *In the above setting, we have the following*

(a) *inclusions of $\widetilde{W}$ -modules*

$$H_D^+(U_r) \hookrightarrow H^{\text{even}}(U_r, D_x), \quad H_D^-(U_r) \hookrightarrow H^{\text{odd}}(U_r, D_x); \quad \text{and}$$

(b) *identity for virtual $\widetilde{W}$ -modules,*

$$H_D^+(U_r) - H_D^-(U_r) = H^{\text{even}}(U_r, D_x) - H^{\text{odd}}(U_r, D_x).$$

Proof. (a) Follows directly from Theorem 4.1 when we restrict to U_r .

(b) By hypothesis and Theorem 2.11(e), $D_r^+ \circ D_r^-$ and $D_r^- \circ D_r^+$ are both semisimple. Then using linear algebra, e.g. Proposition 5.2 of [28], or Proposition 2.5 of [13], one can conclude that $H_D^+(U_r) - H_D^-(U_r)$ is equal to $U_r^+ - U_r^-$ as W -modules. However, $U_r^+ - U_r^-$ is the Euler characteristic of the half-Dirac complex in U_r , hence it is also equal to $H^{\text{even}}(U_r, D_x) - H^{\text{odd}}(U_r, D_x)$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 4.5. Recall that

$$\begin{aligned} \ker D_x / \operatorname{im} D_x &= \bigoplus_r (H^{\text{even}}(U_r, D_x) \oplus H^{\text{odd}}(U_r, D_x)) \\ &\cong (H^{\text{even}}(\mathfrak{h}^*, M) \otimes \chi) \oplus (H^{\text{odd}}(\mathfrak{h}^*, M) \otimes \chi). \end{aligned}$$

By hypothesis, the two summands on the right hand side are disjoint. Also,

$$\bigoplus_r H^{\text{even}}(U_r, D_x) = H^{\text{even}}(\mathfrak{h}^*, M) \otimes \chi, \quad \bigoplus_r H^{\text{odd}}(U_r, D_x) = H^{\text{odd}}(\mathfrak{h}^*, M) \otimes \chi$$

implies $H^{\text{even}}(U_r, D_x)$ and $H^{\text{odd}}(U_r, D_x)$ are disjoint as well. By Lemma 4.7(b), we have

$$H_D^+(U_r) \supset H^{\text{even}}(U_r, D_x) \text{ and } H_D^-(U_r) \supset H^{\text{odd}}(U_r, D_x).$$

It follows from Lemma 4.7(a), the inclusions must be equalities and we have

$$H_D^+(U_r) = H^{\text{even}}(U_r, D_x), \quad H_D^-(U_r) = H^{\text{odd}}(U_r, D_x).$$

Hence, $H_D(U_r) = H^\bullet(U_r, D_x)$. Adding up the r 's, we have $H_D(M) = \ker D_x / \text{im } D_x$ and the result follows. $\square$

5. HODGE DECOMPOSITION FOR UNITARIZABLE MODULES

In this section, we show that the inclusions in Theorem 4.1 are isomorphisms for unitarizable modules. Throughout this section, we assume $\mathbf{H} := \mathbf{H}_{t,c}$ with $t, c(s) \in \mathbb{R}$ for all $s \in \mathcal{R}$. In [15], a $*$ -action is defined on $\mathbf{H}_{t,c}$. For a suitable choice of the basis x_i, y_i in $\mathfrak{h}^*$ and $\mathfrak{h}$ respectively, $*$ has the property that $x_i^* = y_i, y_i^* = x_i$ (See Section 5.1 of [11] for more details).

A $\mathbf{H}$ -module M has a Hermitian $*$ -invariant form if

$$(z \cdot m_1, m_2)_M = (m_1, z^* \cdot m_2)_M$$

for all $z \in \mathbf{H}$ and $m_1, m_2 \in M$. Furthermore, if the form is positive definite, we call M a **$*$ -unitary module**.

The main theorem of this section is the following:

Theorem 5.1. *Suppose the M is a $*$ -unitary $\mathbf{H}$ -module, then Theorem 4.1 is an isomorphism of $\widetilde{W}$ -modules, i.e.*

$$H_D(M) \cong H^\bullet(\mathfrak{h}^*, M) \otimes \chi.$$

The same result holds for $H_\bullet(\mathfrak{h}, M)$.

In order to prove Theorem 5.1, we need a Hermitian form on $M \otimes S$. In fact, we can endow S with a positive definite Hermitian form $(\cdot, \cdot)_S$ so that $(x_i \cdot s_1, s_2) = -(s_1, y_i \cdot s_2)$ for all $s_1, s_2 \in S$ and all $i = 1, \dots, n$ (See (5.1.4) of [11]). So we can define Hermitian form $(\cdot, \cdot)_{M \otimes S}$ on $M \otimes S$ by:

$$(m_1 \otimes s_1, m_2 \otimes s_2)_{M \otimes S} = (m_1, m_2)_M (s_1, s_2)_S.$$

Lemma 5.2. *The adjoint of the half-Dirac operator D_x is $D_x^* = -D_y$.*

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} (D_x(m_1 \otimes s_1), m_2 \otimes s_2)_{M \otimes S} &= \sum_i (x_i \cdot m_1 \otimes y_i \cdot s_1, m_2 \otimes s_2)_{M \otimes S} \\ &= \sum_i (x_i \cdot m_1, m_2)_M (y_i \cdot s_1, s_2)_S \\ &= - \sum_i (m_1, y_i \cdot m_2)_M (s_1, x_i \cdot s_2)_S \\ &= (m_1 \otimes s_1, - \sum_i (y_i \otimes x_i) \cdot (m_2 \otimes s_2))_{M \otimes S}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $D_x^* = -D_y$. $\square$

Similarly, $D_y^* = -D_x$ and we have

$$D^* = (D_x + D_y)^* = -D_y - D_x = -D.$$

Proposition 5.3. *Let M be an irreducible $\mathbf{H}$ -module satisfying the hypothesis given in Theorem 5.1. Then we have*

$$\ker D = \ker D^2 = \ker D_x \cap \ker D_y.$$

Proof. It is easy to see $\ker D \subset \ker D^2$. Suppose $\tilde{m} \in \ker D^2$. Then

$$0 = (D^2 \tilde{m}, \tilde{m}) = (D \tilde{m}, D^* \tilde{m}) = -(D \tilde{m}, D \tilde{m}).$$

It follows that $D \tilde{m} = 0$ and hence $\tilde{m} \in \ker D$. Also, since $\tilde{m} \in \ker D = \ker D^2$,

$$0 = D^2 \tilde{m} = D_x D_y \tilde{m} + D_y D_x \tilde{m}$$

and $D_x D_y \tilde{m} = -D_y D_x \tilde{m}$. Applying D_x to both sides, we have $D_x D_y D_x \tilde{m} = 0$ and

$$0 = (D_x D_y D_x \tilde{m}, D_x \tilde{m}) = -(D_y D_x \tilde{m}, D_y D_x \tilde{m}).$$

It follows that $D_y D_x \tilde{m} = 0$. Similarly, $0 = (D_y D_x \tilde{m}, \tilde{m}) = -(D_x \tilde{m}, D_x \tilde{m})$ and hence $D_x \tilde{m} = 0$, i.e. $\tilde{m} \in \ker D_x$. A similar argument also gives $\tilde{m} \in \ker D_y$. Therefore, we conclude that $\ker D^2 \subset \ker D_x \cap \ker D_y$. For the other inclusion, we note that $D_x \tilde{m} = D_y \tilde{m} = 0$ implies $D^2 \tilde{m} = D_x D_y \tilde{m} + D_y D_x \tilde{m} = 0 + 0 = 0$. $\square$

We now prove a Hodge decomposition theorem for $M \otimes S$. First of all, we notice the following two facts:

Fact (i) – We have $\ker D_x \cap \operatorname{im} D_y = \{0\}$. If $\tilde{m} \in \ker D_x \cap \operatorname{im} D_y$, i.e. $\tilde{m} = D_x \tilde{n} = D_y \tilde{n}'$, then $D_x \tilde{m} = D_x^2 \tilde{n} = 0 = D_x D_y \tilde{n}'$. By the same argument as in Proposition 5.3, $D_x D_y \tilde{n}' = 0$ implies $D_y \tilde{n}' = \tilde{m} = 0$.

Fact (ii) – We have $\ker D_x \perp \operatorname{im} D_y$. If $\tilde{m} \in \ker D_x$, then $0 = (D_x \tilde{m}, \tilde{n}) = -(\tilde{m}, D_y \tilde{n})$. Similarly, we have $\ker D_y \perp \operatorname{im} D_x$.

Theorem 5.4 (Hodge decomposition). *Let M be a $*$ -unitary $\mathbf{H}$ -module. Then we have the following:*

(a) $M \otimes S = \ker D \oplus \operatorname{im} D_x \oplus \operatorname{im} D_y$.

(b) $\ker D_x = \ker D \oplus \operatorname{im} D_x$, $\ker D_y = \ker D \oplus \operatorname{im} D_y$.

Proof. (a) By Proposition 5.3, $\ker D = \ker D^2$ and hence we only need to prove $\operatorname{im} D^2 = \operatorname{im} D_x \oplus \operatorname{im} D_y$ (Recall $\ker D_x \cap \operatorname{im} D_y = \{0\}$ by Fact (i)).

One inclusion is simple – suppose $\tilde{m} = D_x D_y \tilde{n} + D_y D_x \tilde{n} \in \operatorname{im} D^2$, then it is automatically in $\operatorname{im} D_x \oplus \operatorname{im} D_y$.

Now suppose $\tilde{m} \in \operatorname{im} D_x$, then $\tilde{m} \perp \ker D_y$. But $\ker D^2 \subset \ker D_y$ by Proposition 5.3, hence $\tilde{m} \in (\ker D^2)^\perp$. Since $M \otimes S = \ker D^2 \oplus \operatorname{im} D^2$, and our Hermitian product is positive definite, $(\ker D^2)^\perp = \operatorname{im} D^2$ and consequently $\tilde{m} \in \operatorname{im} D^2$. Similarly, one can prove $\operatorname{im} D_y \subset \operatorname{im} D^2$ and therefore (a) is proved.

(b) By Fact (ii) above, $\ker D_x \subset (\operatorname{im} D_y)^\perp$. Now (a) says $(\operatorname{im} D_y)^\perp = \ker D \oplus \operatorname{im} D_x$. So we have the inclusion $\ker D_x \subset \ker D \oplus \operatorname{im} D_x$.

For the other inclusion, note that by Proposition 5.3 we have $\ker D \subset \ker D_x$, and $\operatorname{im} D_x \subset \ker D_x$ since $D_x^2 = 0$ so the inclusion must be an equality.

The second part of the statement is analogous to the first part, and we omit the proof of it. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 5.1. Since $\ker D = \ker D^2$, $\ker D \cap \operatorname{im} D = \{0\}$ and hence $H_D(M) = \ker D$. By the first equality of Theorem 5.4(b),

$$H_D(M) = \ker D \cong \ker D_x / \operatorname{im} D_x \cong H^\bullet(\mathfrak{h}^*, M) \otimes \chi.$$

Similarly,

$$H_D(M) = \ker D \cong \ker D_y / \operatorname{im} D_y \cong H_\bullet(\mathfrak{h}, M) \otimes \chi$$

by the second equality of Theorem 5.4(b). $\square$

6. LIE ALGEBRA COHOMOLOGY FOR $\mathbf{H}_{t,c}$ WITH $t = 1$

We note that the mapping $x \mapsto \lambda x, y \mapsto \lambda y, w \mapsto w$ for $x \in \mathfrak{h}^*, y \in \mathfrak{h}$ and $w \in W$ induces an algebra isomorphism

$$\mathbf{H}_{t,c} \cong \mathbf{H}_{\lambda^2 t, \lambda^2 c}.$$

Therefore, we need to consider only two cases $t = 1$ and $t = 0$ up to equivalence.

In this section, we assume $t = 1$ and set $\mathbf{H} := \mathbf{H}_{1,c}$. Recall from [20], the category $\mathcal{O}$ for $\mathbf{H} := \mathbf{H}_{1,c}$ is defined. For any irreducible W -module σ , the standard module $M(\sigma)$ is defined to be

$$M(\sigma) = \mathbf{H} \otimes_{S(\mathfrak{h}) \rtimes \mathbb{C}[W]} \sigma.$$

As $S(\mathfrak{h}^*) \rtimes \mathbb{C}[W]$ -module, it is isomorphic to $S(\mathfrak{h}^*) \otimes \sigma$, and it has a unique irreducible quotient $L(\sigma)$. For most values of c , the standard module is irreducible, i.e. $M(\sigma) = L(\sigma)$.

It is known that every object M in category $\mathcal{O}$ is $\Omega_{\mathbf{H}}$ -admissible (Definition 2.13). It follows from Remark 4.2 that we have the inclusion maps described in Theorem 4.1 for $M \in \mathcal{O}$, i.e., there are inclusion of $\widetilde{W}$ -modules

$$H_D(M) \hookrightarrow H^\bullet(\mathfrak{h}^*, M) \otimes \chi, \quad H_D(M) \hookrightarrow H_\bullet(\mathfrak{h}, M) \otimes \chi.$$

Suppose $M = \bigoplus_a M_a$ is a decomposition of M into generalized $\Omega_{\mathbf{H}}$ -eigenspaces. Then those values $a \in \mathbb{C}$ with $M_a \neq \{0\}$ are called the **weights** of M .

Clearly, the standard module $M(\sigma) \cong S(\mathfrak{h}^*) \otimes \sigma$ has generalized $\Omega_{\mathbf{H}}$ -eigenspace decomposition. Moreover, $\Omega_{\mathbf{H}}$ acts semisimply on $M(\sigma)$ with lowest weight vectors being of the form $1 \otimes v_\sigma \in M(\sigma)$ and $\Omega_{\mathbf{H}}$ acting by the scalar $a_0 := n - n_{c,\sigma}$, where $n_{c,\sigma}$ are the eigenvalues of the $\Omega_{W,c}$ acting on V_σ . By Equation (2), if $m \in M$ is an eigenvector of $\Omega_{\mathbf{H}}$ of eigenvalue r , then $x \cdot m$ is also an eigenvector of eigenvalue $r+2$ for any $x \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. Therefore, by letting $a_k = a_0 + 2k$, we have an $\Omega_{\mathbf{H}}$ -eigenspace decomposition

$$M(\sigma) = \bigoplus M_{a_i}, \quad \text{with } M_{a_i} = S^k(\mathfrak{h}^*) \otimes \sigma.$$

since every submodule of $J \subset M(\sigma)$ is graded, i.e. $J = \bigoplus J_{a_i}$ with $J_{a_i} \subset M_{a_i}$, we conclude that $\Omega_{\mathbf{H}}$ acts semisimply on every subquotient L of $M(\sigma)$.

Proposition 6.1. *Suppose $M \in \mathcal{O}$ has a **BGG resolution**, i.e., there is a exact sequence of $\mathbf{H}$ -modules*

$$(10) \quad 0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^{j_n} M(\sigma_{n,j}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^{j_{n-1}} M(\sigma_{n-1,j}) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^{j_0} M(\sigma_{0,j}) \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0.$$

Then we have inclusions of W -modules for all $i \geq 0$:

$$H_i(\mathfrak{h}^*, M) \leq \bigoplus_{j=1}^{j_i} \sigma_{i,j}.$$

Moreover, if $\sigma_{i,j} \not\cong \sigma_{i+1,j'}$ for all i, j, j' , then the above inclusions are isomorphisms.

Proof. We restrict our attention to the $R = S(\mathfrak{h}^*)$ -module structure of a $\mathbf{H}$ -module M . By (10), there is a free R -resolution of M by:

$$0 \rightarrow R \otimes \left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{j_n} \sigma_{n,j} \right) \rightarrow R \otimes \left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{j_{n-1}} \sigma_{n-1,j} \right) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow R \otimes \left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{j_0} \sigma_{0,j} \right) \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0.$$

Therefore, $Tor_{\bullet}^R(\mathbb{C}, M)$ can be computed by tensoring $\mathbb{C} \otimes_R \bullet$ to the above sequence, which gives a complex of W -modules

$$(11) \quad 0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^{j_n} \sigma_{n,j} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^{j_{n-1}} \sigma_{n-1,j} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^{j_0} \sigma_{0,j} \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence, $Tor_i^R(\mathbb{C}, M) \leq \bigoplus_{j=1}^{j_i} \sigma_{i,j}$ and by usual homological algebra argument, we have $Tor_i^R(\mathbb{C}, M) \cong H_i(\mathfrak{h}^*, M)$ and the first part of the proposition is proved.

Assume now that $\sigma_{i,j} \neq \sigma_{i+1,j'}$ for all i, j, j' . Then the differentials in the complex (11) must be all zeros. So in this case

$$H_i(\mathfrak{h}^*, M) \cong Tor_i^R(\mathbb{C}, M) \cong \bigoplus_{j=1}^{j_i} \sigma_{i,j}.$$

Therefore, the proposition is proved. $\square$

It follows from the Poincaré duality (Proposition 2.7) for $\mathfrak{c} = \mathfrak{h}^*$ that

$$H^\bullet(\mathfrak{h}^*, M) \cong H_\bullet(\mathfrak{h}^*, M) \otimes \det_{\mathfrak{h}}.$$

Corollary 6.2. *In the setting of the above theorem, assume further that M is $\Omega_{\mathbf{H}}$ -admissible. Then*

$$H_D(M) \leq H^\bullet(\mathfrak{h}^*, M) \otimes \chi \cong H_\bullet(\mathfrak{h}^*, M) \otimes \chi^{-1} \leq \bigoplus_{i=0}^n \bigoplus_{j=1}^{j_i} \sigma_{i,j} \otimes \chi^{-1}.$$

Furthermore, if $\sigma_{2i,j} \neq \sigma_{2i'+1,j'}$ for all i, i', j, j' , then the above inclusions are isomorphisms.

Proof. The only un-proved part is the middle isomorphism. This follows from the fact that $\chi^2 \cong \det_{\mathfrak{h}^*}$ and hence $\chi \otimes \det_{\mathfrak{h}} = \chi \otimes \chi^{-2} = \chi^{-1}$. $\square$

For the rest of section we describe the Lie algebra cohomology and Dirac cohomology of some precisely defined modules $M \in \mathcal{O}$.

Corollary 6.3. *The standard module $M(\sigma)$ has Dirac cohomology*

$$H_D(M(\sigma)) \cong \sigma \otimes \chi^{-1}.$$

Proof. The BGG resolution of $M = M(\sigma)$ is obviously

$$0 \rightarrow M(\sigma) \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0.$$

By Corollary 6.2, we have

$$H_i(\mathfrak{h}^*, M(\sigma)) = 0 \text{ for } i > 0, \quad H_0(\mathfrak{h}^*, M(\sigma)) = \sigma$$

and $H_D(M(\sigma)) = H_{\bullet}(\mathfrak{h}^*, M(\sigma)) \otimes \chi^{-1} = \sigma \otimes \chi^{-1}$. $\square$

Corollary 6.4. *The Dirac cohomology of the finite-dimensional modules $M = L(\text{triv})$ given in Theorem 1.3 of [6] is*

$$H_D(L(\text{triv})) = \wedge^{\bullet} \mathfrak{h} \otimes \chi^{-1}.$$

Proof. By Proposition 1.6 of [6], the BGG resolution of M given by

$$0 \rightarrow M(\wedge^n \mathfrak{h}^*) \rightarrow M(\wedge^{n-1} \mathfrak{h}^*) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow M(\wedge^1 \mathfrak{h}^*) \rightarrow M(\text{triv}) \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0.$$

For any Weyl group W , all $\wedge^i \mathfrak{h}^* \cong \wedge^i \mathfrak{h}$ are distinct irreducible modules, Corollary 6.2 says that for all $0 \leq i \leq n$,

$$H_i(\mathfrak{h}^*, L(\text{triv})) = \wedge^i \mathfrak{h}$$

and $H_D(L(\text{triv})) \cong \wedge^{\bullet} \mathfrak{h} \otimes \chi^{-1}$. $\square$

In fact, the irreducible module in Corollary 6.4 is an example of ‘defect 1’ module in category $\mathcal{O}$, which we now describe. First of all, we recall from [20] the KZ-functor is an exact functor from $\mathcal{O}$ to the Hecke algebra modules:

$$(12) \quad \text{KZ} : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{q}}(W)\text{-mod},$$

where $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{q}}(W)$ is the cyclotomic Hecke algebra with parameter $\mathbf{q}$ determined by the function $c : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. For example, if W is a Coxeter group, then $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{q}}(W)$ is generated by $\{T_s \mid s \in \mathcal{R}\}$ with the relations

$$\overbrace{T_s T_t T_s \dots}^{m_{st}} = \overbrace{T_t T_s T_t \dots}^{m_{st}}, \quad (T_s - 1)(T_s + \mathbf{q}) = 0$$

with $\mathbf{q} \mapsto e^{2\pi i c(s)}$, and m_{st} being the (s, t) -entry of the Coxeter matrix of W . The representation theory of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{q}}(W)$ has been studied extensively (see e.g. [18], [19]). In particular, for $\mathbf{q}$ generic, the simple modules are parameterized by $\text{Irr}(W)$ and the algebra $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{q}}(W)$ is semisimple. For instance, if $\mathbf{q} \mapsto 1$, then $\mathcal{H}_1(W) \cong \mathbb{C}[W] \cong \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \text{Irr}(W)} \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}} V_{\sigma}$ is the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[W]$.

Let $\{\widehat{\tau}_q \mid \tau \in \text{Irr}(W)\}$ be the collection of all standard modules, which is irreducible for generic $\mathbf{q} \mapsto q$. If q is not generic, then some $\widehat{\tau}_q$ is not irreducible. By studying the composition factors of $\widehat{\tau}_q$ for each $\tau \in \text{Irr}(W)$, one has partition $\{\widehat{\tau}_q \mid \tau \in \text{Irr}(W)\}$ into **blocks**. Moreover, if W is a Coxeter group, one can attach an integer called **defect** to each block (See Chapters 7-8 of [19] for the precise definitions of blocks and defect).

Proposition 6.5. *Let $\mathbf{H} := \mathbf{H}_{1,c}$ be the rational Cherednik algebra for a Coxeter group W , and $\mathcal{H}_q(W)$ be the cyclotomic Hecke algebra given in (12).*

(a) *If $\sigma \in \text{Irr}(W)$ lies in a defect 0 block of $\mathcal{H}_q(W)$, then $L(\sigma) \cong M(\sigma)$. Hence, the hypothesis in Theorem 4.5 holds. Consequently,*

$$H_D(L(\sigma)) = H_\bullet(\mathfrak{h}^*, L(\sigma)) \otimes \chi^{-1} = \sigma \otimes \chi^{-1}.$$

(b) *If $\sigma \in \text{Irr}(W)$ lies in a defect 1 block of $\mathcal{H}_q(W)$, then there is a BGG resolution*

$$0 \rightarrow M(\sigma_k) \rightarrow M(\sigma_{k-1}) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow M(\sigma_0) = M(\sigma) \rightarrow L(\sigma) \rightarrow 0$$

with all σ_i distinct. Hence, $H_i(\mathfrak{h}^*, L(\sigma)) = \sigma_i$, and the parity condition in Theorem 4.5 holds. Consequently,

$$H_D(L(\sigma)) \cong H_\bullet(\mathfrak{h}^*, L(\sigma)) \otimes \chi^{-1} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^k \sigma_i \otimes \chi^{-1}.$$

Proof. (a) By definition, if σ is in the block $\mathcal{A}$ with defect 0, then $\mathcal{A} = \{\sigma\}$, $\widehat{\sigma}_q$ is irreducible, and for every $\sigma' \not\cong \sigma$, the composition factor of $\widehat{\sigma}'_q$ does not contain $\widehat{\sigma}_q$. Suppose that on the contrary there is a non-trivial proper submodule $J \subset M(\sigma)$. Then there must be a non-trivial homomorphism $M(\sigma') \rightarrow M(\sigma)$. By Lemma 2.10 of [7], there must be a non-trivial homomorphism $\text{KZ}(M(\sigma')) \rightarrow \text{KZ}(M(\sigma))$. Also, by Lemma 3.2 of [6], $\text{KZ}(M(\sigma))$, $\text{KZ}(M(\sigma'))$ must have the same composition factors as $\widehat{\sigma}_q$, $\widehat{\sigma}'_q$ respectively (in particular, since $\widehat{\sigma}_q$ is irreducible, $\text{KZ}(M(\sigma)) = \widehat{\sigma}_q$). This contradicts the fact that $\widehat{\sigma}'_q$ does not contain any composition factor of the form $\widehat{\sigma}_q$. Hence, $M(\sigma)$ must be irreducible and the remaining statement follows.

(b) By Theorem 5.15 of [34], any block $\mathcal{A}$ of defect 1 can be expressed by the *Brauer tree*

$$\mathcal{A} = \{\sigma_1 > \sigma_2 > \cdots > \sigma_n\}.$$

(We use $>$, instead of $<$ in [34], since we are dealing with lowest weight modules.) It is further proved that the block containing all $M(\sigma_i)$ s in category $\mathcal{O}$ is equivalent to the abelian category of perverse sheaves of $\mathbb{P}^n$. Therefore, one can mimic the arguments in [6] to construct a BGG resolution of $L(\sigma)$ as in the statement of the theorem, with all σ_i distinct. Hence, we reach the desired conclusion. $\square$

Example 6.6. The Brauer trees of exceptional Weyl groups are computed in [19]. If W is the Weyl group of type E_8 and the parameter function $c(s) = \frac{1}{18}$, then all blocks are either of defect 0 or 1. For example, there is a defect 1 cell

$$\{84'_x > 560'_z > 1575'_x > 2400'_z > 2100_x > 1008_z > 210_x > 1_x\}.$$

Then we have the BGG resolution $0 \rightarrow M(84'_x) \rightarrow M(560'_z) \rightarrow M(1575'_x) \rightarrow M(2400'_z) \rightarrow M(2100_x) \rightarrow L(2100_x) \rightarrow 0$, and

$$H_D(L(2100_x)) = (2100_x + 2400'_z + 1575'_x + 560'_z + 84'_x) \otimes \chi^{-1}.$$

Moreover, Rouquier in [34] proved that there is an equivalence of categories $\mathcal{O}$ with parameters $c = \frac{1}{18}$ and $c' = \frac{r}{18}$, for any positive r with $(r, n) = 1$. Therefore, the same results hold for all such c' .

It was showed in [28] that the inclusion map of Dirac cohomology into Lie algebra cohomology for any irreducible module $M \in \mathcal{O}^p$ is an isomorphism. This was proved by showing that M satisfies a parity condition (Theorem 4.5). However, we are not able to verify this parity condition for $\mathbf{H}$ described as the hypothesis in Theorem 4.5. We make the following

Conjecture 6.7. *Every irreducible quotient $L(\sigma)$ satisfies the parity condition in Theorem 4.5. Consequently, we have $\widetilde{W}$ -module isomorphisms*

$$H_D(L(\sigma)) \cong H^\bullet(\mathfrak{h}^*, L(\sigma)) \otimes \chi \cong H_\bullet(\mathfrak{h}, L(\sigma)) \otimes \chi.$$

7. LIE ALGEBRA COHOMOLOGY FOR $\mathbf{H}_{t,c}$ WITH $t = 0$

In this section, we set $\mathbf{H} := \mathbf{H}_{0,c}$. First of all, we study the center $Z(\mathbf{H})$ of $\mathbf{H}$. As opposed to the $t = 1$ case whose center only contains the constants, $\mathbf{H}$ has a large center as shown in the following lemma:

Lemma 7.1. *The center $Z(\mathbf{H})$ of $\mathbf{H}$ is a free $S(\mathfrak{h})^W \otimes S(\mathfrak{h}^*)^W$ -module of rank $|W|$. More precisely, there exists $\gamma_i \in \mathbf{H}^{\mathbb{C}^*}$ (Recall the $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action on $\mathbf{H}$ in Definition 3.1) with $\gamma_1 = 1$ such that*

$$Z(\mathbf{H}) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{|W|} (S(\mathfrak{h})^W \otimes S(\mathfrak{h}^*)^W) \cdot \gamma_i.$$

Proof. The first statement of the lemma is in Proposition 4.15 of [14]. For the last statement, note that by the commutation relations of $\mathbf{H}$, $a \in \mathbf{H}^{\mathbb{C}^*} \Leftrightarrow gr(a) \in gr(\mathbf{H})^{\mathbb{C}^*}$, where the grading is the one given in Section 3. So we only need to prove $gr(\gamma_i) \in gr(\mathbf{H})^{\mathbb{C}^*}$ for all i . In this setting, the proof of Proposition 4.15 [14] gives the structure of $gr(\gamma_i)$ precisely, with all $gr(\gamma_i)$ can be chosen to be in $gr(\mathbf{H})^{\mathbb{C}^*}$. Hence, the Lemma follows. $\square$

Let $\mathfrak{m}_+$ be the maximal ideal of $S(\mathfrak{h})^W \otimes S(\mathfrak{h}^*)^W$ consisting of all non-constant polynomials. The **restricted rational Cherednik algebra** $\overline{\mathbf{H}}$ is defined to be the quotient $\mathbf{H}/\mathfrak{m}_+\mathbf{H}$. Section 6 of [17] says that $\overline{\mathbf{H}}$ is of rank $|W|^3$, and the center of $\overline{\mathbf{H}}$ is $Z(\overline{\mathbf{H}}) = Z(\mathbf{H})/\mathfrak{m}_+Z(\mathbf{H})$. Therefore, the above lemma says $\dim Z(\overline{\mathbf{H}}) = |W|$.

According to [16], a family of $\mathbf{H}$ -modules called **baby Verma modules** is defined as follows – for each $\sigma \in \text{Irr}(W)$, we set

$$\overline{M}(\sigma) := \mathbf{H} \otimes_{(S(\mathfrak{h})^W \otimes S(\mathfrak{h}^*)^W) \rtimes \mathbb{C}[W]} \sigma,$$

where $S(\mathfrak{h})^W \otimes S(\mathfrak{h}^*)$ acts by evaluating at $(0,0)$. Since $\mathfrak{m}_+$ annihilates $\overline{M}(\sigma)$, so $\overline{M}(\sigma)$ becomes an $\overline{\mathbf{H}}$ -module. By the results in [16], it has a unique irreducible head $\overline{L}(\sigma)$. Clearly, the dimension of $\overline{L}(\sigma)$ is bounded above by dimension of $\overline{M}(\sigma)$ which is equal to $|W| \cdot \dim \sigma$. Also, $\overline{L}(\sigma) \cong \overline{L}(\lambda)$ if and only if $\sigma \cong \lambda$, and $\{\overline{L}(\sigma) | \sigma \in \text{Irr}(W)\}$ is a complete set of irreducible $\overline{\mathbf{H}}$ -modules up to equivalence.

The central character of all such $\overline{L}(\sigma)$ defines a map

$$\Theta : \text{Irr}(W) \rightarrow \text{Spec } Z(\overline{\mathbf{H}}).$$

The elements in the pre-image of Θ of an element in $\text{Spec } Z(\overline{\mathbf{H}})$ form a **Calegero-Moser cell**. This defines a partition of W . The Calogero-Moser cell is conjectured to be equal to the Rouquier cells, and it carries information on the smoothness of the variety $X_c := \text{Spec } Z(\mathbf{H})$. More precisely, if X_c is smooth, then all $\overline{L}(\sigma)$ has dimension $|W| \cdot \dim \sigma$, and every Calogero-Moser cell is a singleton.

Since all subquotients M of a baby Verma module are finite-dimensional, they are $\Omega_{\mathbf{H}}$ -admissible, and Theorem 4.1 of inclusion of Dirac cohomology into Lie algebra cohomology can be applied to M (regarded as an $\mathbf{H}$ -module). We set

$$\mathcal{B} := Z(\mathbf{H})^{\mathbb{C}^*} \otimes 1 = \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{|W|} \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} (S^k(\mathfrak{h})^W \otimes S^k(\mathfrak{h}^*)^W) \cdot \gamma_i \right) \otimes 1.$$

Then $\mathcal{B}$ is in $(Z(\mathbf{H}) \otimes 1) \cap \mathbf{A}$, so $\mathcal{B} \subset \ker \delta_d \subset \mathbf{A}^W$ (or $\mathcal{B} \subset \ker \delta_{\partial} \subset \mathbf{A}^W$) satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 3.9. So we can define the homomorphism

$$\zeta_d : Z(\mathbf{H})^{\mathbb{C}^*} \otimes 1 \rightarrow \Delta(\mathbb{C}[\widetilde{W}]^{\widetilde{W}}).$$

Proposition 7.2. *We have*

$$(\mathfrak{m}_+ Z(\mathbf{H}))^{\mathbb{C}^*} \otimes 1 \in \text{im } \delta_d,$$

hence ζ_d descends to the homomorphism:

$$\zeta_d : Z(\mathbf{H})^{\mathbb{C}^*} \otimes 1 / (\mathfrak{m}_+ Z(\mathbf{H}))^{\mathbb{C}^*} \otimes 1 \cong Z(\overline{\mathbf{H}}) \rightarrow \Delta(\mathbb{C}[\widetilde{W}]^{\widetilde{W}}).$$

Proof. By Lemma 7.1, all elements in $(\mathfrak{m}_+ Z(\mathbf{H}))^{\mathbb{C}^*}$ are of the form $\sum_i f_i g_i \gamma_i$, where $f_i \in S(\mathfrak{h})_+^W$, $g_i \in S(\mathfrak{h}^*)_+^W$ are of the same (positive) degree. We first show that $g \otimes 1 \in \text{im } \delta_d$ for any $g \in S(\mathfrak{h}^*)_+^W$ – consider the map

$$\delta_d : (S(\mathfrak{h}^*) \otimes \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{h}^*)^W \rightarrow (S(\mathfrak{h}^*) \otimes \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{h}^*)^W$$

given by $\delta_d(a) = D_x a - \epsilon(a) D_x$. Since $g \in Z(\mathbf{H})$, it is easy to see that $g \otimes 1 \in \ker \delta_d$. Also, from the proof of Proposition 3.7, we have seen that the map δ_d is an exact differential on non-zero degrees. Since g is of positive degree, $g \otimes 1 \in \ker \delta_d = \text{im } \delta_d$ as follows.

If $g_i \otimes 1 = D_x a - \epsilon(a) D_x$, then for $f_i g_i \gamma_i \otimes 1 \in (\mathfrak{m}_+ Z(\mathbf{H}))^{\mathbb{C}^*}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} f_i g_i \gamma_i \otimes 1 &= (f_i \otimes 1)(g_i \otimes 1)(\gamma_i \otimes 1) \\ &= (f_i \otimes 1)(D_x a - \epsilon(a) D_x)(\gamma_i \otimes 1) \\ &= D_x(f_i \otimes 1)a(\gamma_i \otimes 1) - \epsilon((f_i \otimes 1)a(\gamma_i \otimes 1))D_x, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality comes from that fact that both $f_i \otimes 1, \gamma_i \otimes 1$ commute with D_x . Hence, $f_i g_i \gamma_i \otimes 1 \in \text{im } \delta_d$ as required. $\square$

The morphism $\zeta_d^* : \text{Irr}(\widetilde{W}) \rightarrow \text{Spec } Z(\overline{\mathbf{H}})$ relates the central characters of an irreducible $\overline{\mathbf{H}}$ -module and its Lie algebra cohomology.

Theorem 7.3. *Let M be an irreducible $\overline{\mathbf{H}}$ -module with central character $\beta \in \text{Spec } Z(\overline{\mathbf{H}})$. Suppose ν is an irreducible W -module appearing in $H^\bullet(\mathfrak{h}^*, M)$. Then we have*

$$\beta = \zeta_d^*(\nu \otimes \chi).$$

Proof. The proof is similar to Theorem 3.9. $\square$

We can now relate the morphisms Θ and ζ_d^* :

Corollary 7.4. (a) *For any $\sigma \in \text{Irr}(W)$,*

$$\Theta(\sigma) = \zeta_d^*(\sigma \otimes \chi^{-1}).$$

(b) *If $H^\bullet(\mathfrak{h}^*, \overline{L}(\sigma)) \cong \bigoplus_i \nu_i$ as W -modules with $\nu_i \in \text{Irr}(W)$, then all such $\nu_i \otimes \det_{\mathfrak{h}^*}$'s belong to the same Calogero-Moser cell.*

Proof. (a) The W -module $\sigma \otimes \det_{\mathfrak{h}} \cong (1 \otimes \sigma) \otimes \wedge^{\dim \mathfrak{h}} \mathfrak{h}$ in $\overline{L}(\sigma) \otimes \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{h}$ is easily seen to be in $H^\bullet(\mathfrak{h}^*, M)$ (see Proposition 5.6 of [11] for instance). Taking $M = \overline{L}(\sigma)$ in Theorem 7.3, we have

$$\Theta(\sigma) = \zeta_d^*(\sigma \otimes \det_{\mathfrak{h}} \otimes \chi) = \zeta_d^*(\sigma \otimes \chi^{-1}).$$

(b) Suppose $\nu \in H^\bullet(\mathfrak{h}^*, \overline{L}(\sigma))$. By Theorem 7.3,

$$\Theta(\sigma) = \zeta_d^*(\nu \otimes \chi).$$

On the other hand, (a) says $\zeta_d^*(\nu \otimes \chi) = \zeta_d^*((\nu \otimes \det_{\mathfrak{h}^*}) \otimes \chi^{-1}) = \Theta(\nu \otimes \det_{\mathfrak{h}^*})$. Hence $\nu \otimes \det_{\mathfrak{h}^*}, \sigma$ are in the preimage of the same element in $\text{Spec } Z(\overline{\mathbf{H}})$, i.e. they are in the same Calogero-Moser cell. $\square$

Since Theorem 3.9 holds for both D_x and D_y , the above results also hold if we replace $H^\bullet(\mathfrak{h}^*, M)$ with $H_\bullet(\mathfrak{h}, M)$. We skip the proofs here.

We make the following conjecture, noting that the parity condition in Theorem 4.5 do not hold for $\overline{L}(\sigma)$ in general (See Remark 7.6 and Example 7.7):

Conjecture 7.5. *For irreducible $\overline{\mathbf{H}}$ -module $\overline{L}(\sigma)$, there are $\widetilde{W}$ -module isomorphisms*

$$H_D(\overline{L}(\sigma)) \cong H^\bullet(\mathfrak{h}^*, \overline{L}(\sigma)) \otimes \chi \cong H_\bullet(\mathfrak{h}, \overline{L}(\sigma)) \otimes \chi.$$

Remark 7.6. Consider $W = A_1 = S_2$ and the baby Verma module

$$\overline{M}(\text{triv}) \cong \mathbb{C}[x]/\langle x^2 \rangle,$$

where $\mathbb{C}[x] = S(\mathfrak{h}^*)$ acts by usual multiplication, $y \in \mathfrak{h}$ acts by $y \cdot 1 = 0$, $y \cdot x = -2c$, and the non-trivial element $s \in W$ acts by $s \cdot x = -x$.

For $c \neq 0$, this is an irreducible representation, i.e. $\overline{M}(\text{triv}) = \overline{L}(\text{triv})$. It is easy to see that

$$H^0(\mathfrak{h}^*, \overline{L}(\text{triv})) = H^1(\mathfrak{h}^*, \overline{L}(\text{triv})) \cong \text{sgn}.$$

In particular, the hypothesis in Theorem 4.5 does not hold.

Example 7.7. Ciubotaru [12] identified the *cuspidal Calogero-Moser cells* by studying one W -type $\mathbf{H}$ -module X for W being a Weyl group, i.e. those X such that $X|_W \cong \sigma$ for some irreducible W -module σ . Applying our calculation to the one W -type modules $X = \overline{L}(\sigma)$, we have $D_x \equiv 0$ and hence

$$H^i(\mathfrak{h}^*, \overline{L}(\sigma)) \cong \sigma \otimes \wedge^i \mathfrak{h}$$

Thus, all irreducible W -modules appearing in $\sigma \otimes \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{h} \otimes \det_{\mathfrak{h}^*} \cong \sigma \otimes \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{h}$ are in the same Calogero-Moser cell, verifying Corollary 4.2 of [12].

To see its relations with the Dirac cohomology, first note that some $\overline{L}(\sigma)$ do not satisfy the hypothesis in Theorem 4.5. For example, in the case W is of type E_6 and c is a generic parameter, then $\overline{L}(10_s)$ is a one W -type module, whose Lie algebra cohomology contains irreducible W -representations 90_s and 60_s in both odd and even degrees:

$$\begin{aligned} [H^i(\mathfrak{h}^* : \overline{L}(10_s)) : 90_s] &= 1 \text{ for } i = 2, 3, 4; \\ [H^i(\mathfrak{h}^* : \overline{L}(10_s)) : 60_s] &= 1 \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 4, 5. \end{aligned}$$

However, $\overline{L}(\sigma)$ is obviously $*$ -unitary since it only contains one W -type, so we can still conclude that

$$H_D(\overline{L}(\sigma)) \cong H^\bullet(\mathfrak{h}^*, \overline{L}(\sigma)) \otimes \chi \cong \sigma \otimes \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{h} \otimes \chi$$

We give an example in which the parity condition in Theorem 4.5 holds.

Example 7.8. Consider W the Weyl group of type G_2 in Proposition 16.5 of [14]. Then $|W| = 12$. There are two 6-dimensional irreducible representations $E^\pm$ of $\mathbf{H}$ for generic c , and the other irreducible representations are all 12-dimensional. The action of $\mathfrak{h}$ and $\mathfrak{h}^*$ on $E^\pm$ are given explicitly, which one can easily check that all $\mathfrak{m}_+$ acts as zero on $E^\pm$. So $E^\pm$ can be descended to an irreducible $\overline{\mathbf{H}}$ -module, with

$$E^+ = \overline{L}(\phi_{2,2}), \quad E^- = \overline{L}(\phi_{2,1}).$$

With the given $\mathfrak{h}$ and $\mathfrak{h}^*$ -action of $E^\pm$, one can easily compute $H^\bullet(\mathfrak{h}^*, \phi_{2,1})$, $H^\bullet(\mathfrak{h}^*, \phi_{2,2})$:

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(\mathfrak{h}^*, \overline{L}(\phi_{2,1})) &= H^2(\mathfrak{h}^*, \overline{L}(\phi_{2,1})) = \phi_{2,1}; \quad H^1(\mathfrak{h}^*, \overline{L}(\phi_{2,1})) = 2\phi_{2,2}. \\ H^0(\mathfrak{h}^*, \overline{L}(\phi_{2,2})) &= H^2(\mathfrak{h}^*, \overline{L}(\phi_{2,2})) = \phi_{2,2}; \quad H^1(\mathfrak{h}^*, \overline{L}(\phi_{2,2})) = 2\phi_{2,1}. \end{aligned}$$

This says that $\{\phi_{2,1} \otimes \det_{\mathfrak{h}^*}, \phi_{2,2} \otimes \det_{\mathfrak{h}^*}\} = \{\phi_{2,1}, \phi_{2,2}\}$ are in the same Calogero-Moser cell, verifying the result in [16].

Moreover, the parity condition in Theorem 4.5 holds and

$$H_D(\overline{L}(\phi_{2,1})) \cong H^\bullet(\mathfrak{h}^*, \overline{L}(\phi_{2,1})) \otimes \chi \cong (2\phi_{2,1} + 2\phi_{2,2}) \otimes \chi.$$

$$H_D(\overline{L}(\phi_{2,2})) \cong H^\bullet(\mathfrak{h}^*, \overline{L}(\phi_{2,2})) \otimes \chi \cong (2\phi_{2,1} + 2\phi_{2,2}) \otimes \chi.$$

This example may be generalized to study Calogero-Moser cells for other complex reflection groups.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Atiyah, W. Schmid, *A geometric construction of the discrete series for semisimple Lie groups* Invent. Math. **42** (1977), 1–62, **54** (1979), 189–192.
- [2] M. Balagovic, A. Puranik, *Irreducible representations of the rational Cherednik algebra associated to the Coxeter group H_3* , J. Algebra **405** (2014), 259–290.
- [3] D. Barbasch, D. Ciubotaru, P. Trapa, *Dirac cohomology for graded affine Hecke algebras*, Acta Math. **209** (2012), 197–227.
- [4] G. Bellamy, *On singular Calogero-Moser spaces*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **41** (2009), 315–326.
- [5] G. Bellamy, *Symplectic reflection algebras*, arXiv: 1210.1219.
- [6] Yu. Berest, P. Etingof, V. Ginzburg, *Finite-dimensional representations of rational Cherednik algebras*, Int. Math. Res. Not. **19** (2003), 1053–1088.
- [7] Yu. Berest, P. Etingof, V. Ginzburg, *Cherednik algebras and differential operators on quasi-invariants*, Duke Math. J. **118** (2003), no. 2, 279–337.
- [8] W. Casselman, M. Osborne, *The n -cohomology of the representations with an infinitesimal characters*, Compositio Math., **31** (1975), 219–227.
- [9] T. Chmutova, *Representations of the rational Cherednik algebras of dihedral type*, J. Algebra **297** (2006), 542–565.
- [10] T. Chmutova, P. Etingof, *On some representations of the rational Cherednik algebra*, Represent. Theory **7** (2003), 641–650.
- [11] D. Ciubotaru, *Dirac cohomology for symplectic reflection groups*, to appear in Selecta Math., 2015.
- [12] D. Ciubotaru, *One- W -type modules for rational Cherednik algebra and cuspidal two-sided cells*, arXiv, 2015.
- [13] D. Ciubotaru, P. Trapa, *Character of Springer representations on elliptic conjugacy class*, Duke Math. J. **162**(2013), 201–223.
- [14] P. Etingof, V. Ginzburg, *Symplectic reflection algebras, Calogero-Moser space, and deformed Harish-Chandra homomorphism*, Invent. Math. **147** (2002), no. 2, 243–348.
- [15] P. Etingof, E. Stoica, *Unitary representations of rational Cherednik algebras*, Represent. Theory **13** (2009), 349–370.
- [16] I. Gordon, *Baby Verma modules for rational Cherednik algebras*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **35** (2003), no. 3, 321–336.
- [17] I. Gordon, *Symplectic reflection algebras*, in Trends in Representation Theory of Algebras and Related Topics, EMS Ser. Congr. Rep., Eur. Math. Soc., Zurich, 2008, 285C347.
- [18] M. Geck, N. Jacon, *Representations of Hecke Algebras at Roots of Unity*, Algebra and Applications **15**, Springer-Verlag, 2011.
- [19] M. Geck, G. Pfeiffer, *Characters of finite Coxeter groups and Iwahori-Hecke algebras*, London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series, Volume **21**, Oxford University Press, New York, 2000.
- [20] V. Ginzburg, N. Guay, E. Opdam, R. Rouquier, *On the category $\mathcal{O}$ for rational Cherednik algebras*, Invent. Math. **154** (2003), 617–651.

- [21] J.-S. Huang, *Dirac cohomology, elliptic representations and endoscopy*, to appear in book *Representations of Lie Groups: In honor of David A Vogan Jr. on his 60th Birthday*, Progress in Mathematics, Springer.
- [22] J.-S. Huang, P. Pandžić, *Dirac cohomology, unitary representations and a proof of a conjecture of Vogan*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **15** (2002), 185–202.
- [23] J.-S. Huang, P. Pandžić, *Dirac cohomology for Lie Superalgebras*, Transform. Group **10** (2005), 201–209.
- [24] J.-S. Huang, P. Pandžić, *Dirac operators in Representation Theory*, Mathematics: Theory and Applications, Birkhauser, 2006.
- [25] J.-S. Huang, P. Pandžić, D. Renard, *Dirac operators and Lie algebra cohomology*, arXiv:math/0503582, 2005.
- [26] J.-S. Huang, P. Pandžić, D. Renard, *Dirac operators and Lie algebra cohomology*, Represent. Theory **10** (2006), 299–313.
- [27] J.-S. Huang, P. Pandžić, F.-H. Zhu, *Dirac cohomology, K-characters and branching laws*, Amer. J. Math. **135** (2013), 1253–1269.
- [28] J.-S. Huang, W. Xiao, *Dirac cohomology for highest weight modules*, Selecta Math. **18** (2012), 803–824.
- [29] V. G. Kac, P. Möseneder Frajria and P. Papi, *Multiplets of representations, twisted Dirac operators and Vogan’s conjecture in affine setting*, Adv. Math. **217** (2008), 2485–2562.
- [30] B. Kostant, *Lie algebra cohomology and the generalized Borel-Weil Theorem*, Ann. Math. **74** (1960), 329–387.
- [31] B. Kostant, *A generalization of the Bott-Borel-Weil Theorem and Euler number multiplets of Representations*, Lett. Math. Phys. **52** (2000), 61–78.
- [32] B. Kostant, *Dirac cohomology for the cubic Dirac operator*, Studies in Memory of Issai Schur, Progress in Math. Vol. **210** (2003), 69–93.
- [33] R. Parthasarathy, *Dirac operator and the discrete series*, Ann. Math. **96** (1972), 1–30.
- [34] R. Rouquier, *q -Schur algebras and complex reflection groups*, Mosc. Math. J. **8** (2008), no. 1, 119–158.
- [35] D. A. Vogan, Jr., *Dirac operator and unitary representations*, 3 talks at MIT Lie groups seminar, Fall of 1997.

(Huang) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HONG KONG UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY,
 CLEAR WATER BAY, KOWLOON, HONG KONG SAR, CHINA
E-mail address: mahuang@ust.hk

(Wong) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HONG KONG UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY,
 CLEAR WATER BAY, KOWLOON, HONG KONG SAR, CHINA
E-mail address: makywong@ust.hk